

City Council of Peachtree City
Agenda
November 17, 2005
7 00 p.m.

- I Prayer
- II Pledge of Allegiance
- III Announcements, Awards, Special Recognition
Remind Attendees to Turn Off Cell Phones
- IV Minutes
November 3, 2005, Regular Meeting Minutes
November 3, 2005, Executive Session Minutes
- V Consent Agenda
 - 1 Consider Appointment to Airport Authority
 - 2. Alcohol Licenses – Change in License Representative – Eckerd #2026,
Eckerd #3458, and Eckerd #6743
- VI Old Agenda Items
- VII New Agenda Items
 - 11-05-01 Consider Adoption of National Incident Management System (NIMS)
 - 11-05-02 Certify Election Results
 - 11-05-03 Consider Approval of Flood Map Modernization
Memorandum of Agreement
- VIII Council/Staff Topics
- IX. Executive Session

This agenda is subject to change at any time up to 24-hours prior to the scheduled meeting.

City Council of Peachtree City
Minutes of Meeting
November 3, 2005
7:00 p.m.

The City Council of Peachtree City met Thursday, November 3, 2005, in the City Hall Council Chambers. Mayor Brown called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m. Other Council Members present were: Stuart Kourajian, Steve Rapson, and Murray Weed. Judi Rutherford was unable attend the meeting.

Announcements, Awards, Special Recognitions

Library Commission Chairperson Marie Washburn and Library Commissioner Mary Ann Browning presented the Mayor and Council with tokens of appreciation for their support of the library bond and building project. Mayor Brown read a proclamation for National Radiologic Technology Week. Members of the Peachtree City Dog Park Committee recognized companies and individuals that supported the dog park. The committee also recognized Council Member Weed for his efforts. Brown and Weed, the chairman of the Peachtree City Tourism Association, recognized Lauren Yawn, the new executive director for the Tourism Association.

Minutes

Weed moved to approve the October 6, 2005, regular meeting minutes as amended. Rapson seconded. The motion carried unanimously

Kourajian moved to approve the October 20, 2005, regular meeting minutes as amended. Rapson seconded. The motion carried 3-0-1 (Weed).

Reports

Brown noted that the Library grand opening had been rescheduled to November 19. Brown reminded everyone that November 8 was Election Day and encouraged everyone to vote. City offices would be closed on November 24 and 25 for Thanksgiving. The top five accident locations for the month were GA 74/Crosstown Road, GA 54/GA 74, GA 54/Commerce Drive, GA 54/Planterra Way, and GA 54/Huddleston Road.

Consent Agenda

1. **Consider Appointments to Recreation Commission – George Martin, Colleen Sugar, Ken Hartzog**

Weed moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Rapson seconded. The motion carried unanimously

New Agenda Items

11-05-01 Presentation from Adopt-a-Stream Participants

City Engineer Dave Borkowski introduced Mike DeLisle, a teacher at McIntosh High School, who introduced the students in attendance at the meeting who were participating in the program.

DeLisle explained that the Adopt-a-Stream program brought more real science into the students' curriculum. Several thousand volunteers participated in the state program,

receiving training and certification in the sampling techniques used to collect data. The students were testing chemical and biological samples from the streams. The goal of the state program and the school's program was public awareness about water quality and non-point pollution. Another goal was to give citizens the tools they needed to protect the watershed. The program also fostered relationships between citizens and local government, as well as providing baseline data that was sent to the state.

DeLisle continued that over 100 students had been trained in three years of the program. Currently, there were 44 students involved using more than \$3,000 worth of equipment. There were 10 testing points in the City. Six points were on Flat Creek, from the point on Dogwood Trail where Flat Creek entered the City to down by the Wilshire Pavilion on GA 74 South. The program went on for four years. The students who were to address Council tested a site on Flat Creek located just below the Kedron dam and just above the golf course.

Mike White told the Council that chemical testing was done once a month and was a quick and easy way to check the health of the stream. Biological testing was done once every three months. The bugs that lived in the stream were called macroinvertebrates, which were divided into three groups based on their tolerance to pollution. One of the tests was for macrodiversity; the more types of macros were that found, the healthier the stream.

Will McCranie told Council that the health of the stream had taken a turn for the worse over the past year. A red film developed over the streambed during the summer. All traces of the macros had disappeared. The stream had changed from a place of natural beauty used by the public to a place only budding scientists were interested in. The water was tinted red and smelled of rotten fish. The chemical levels had dropped substantially. The last biological exam was in October and the students found almost nothing was living in the stream.

Morgan Hickson, team leader, said, with their limited testing ability, they had not been able to find out why. She said they could only tell Council what was happening in the stream, not why. She told Council they would appreciate any assistance in finding out what was causing the problem.

Rapson asked the students what they wanted Council to do. DeLisle said it was beyond the limits of the volunteers to figure out what was causing the problem. The heavy rains could have washed a lot more fertilizer into Lake Kedron, which could have developed the red film and caused the contamination. The area had been very pristine until the heavy rains.

Borkowski explained that the Adopt-a-Stream program let the City know there were problems. The students had also alerted the City to some problems close to Kelly Drive. The City was working with the Environmental Protection Division (EPD) on finding a solution to the problems. Staff would continue to work with Dennis Chase and Integrated Science & Engineering (ISE) to determine the source of the problem.

Brown asked what conditions were like upstream. DeLisle said the problem had appeared during the last six months. There had been a lot of water, but very little debris on the ground. It had all washed away. There had been a drop in all the areas during the last six months. One of the best areas was on Line Creek, just below the GA 54 bridge. Biological tests were also good at that location. There was a concern about Flat Creek. Brown noted that it was not unusual for the Flat Creek Golf Course to be under water after a heavy rain. Any applications made on the golf course just prior to a heavy rain would go into the creek. DeLisle said the problem was upstream, just below the dam.

Kourajian asked if the red film was living algae. DeLisle said the fertilizer could be causing the film, especially due to the heavy rain. Kourajian asked who recommended solutions. Borkowski said that would be the City's engineering department. He said that was one reason it was great to have people in the field monitoring the trends in the streams. They would be able to see if the film died off and could possibly narrow the problem down to a non-point source runoff problem with fertilizers and minerals, then the City could look into treatment. The treatment could include a public awareness program about rain and using fertilizer for the residents in the area. Brown pointed out that that would be a responsibility of the new stormwater utility.

Rapson said there were 44 sets of eyes looking out for the streams at no cost to the City. Council thanked DeLisle and the students for their efforts.

Dennis Chase said that the program had recently acquired additional equipment to test phosphorus and nitrogen and additional training was required. The students had been outstanding and picked up on problems quickly. The City had also gotten involved quickly.

Brown said some private entities had grants available. He said he would write letters on behalf of the City if provided with a list of needs.

11-05-02 Public Hearing – Reaffirm Multi-family Moratorium

City Attorney Ted Meeker said the moratorium prohibited the acceptance of any requests for rezoning property for multi-family residential use. The moratorium was adopted in 1999 and reaffirmed every subsequent year. There was one exception that allowed the moratorium to be lifted by Council so a rezoning could be sought. He added that staff was looking at multi-family housing as part of the Comprehensive Plan update, as well as the continuation of the moratorium.

No one spoke in favor of, or against the moratorium.

Weed moved to extend and reaffirm the multi-family moratorium. Rapson seconded.

Kourajian asked why Council had to look at the moratorium every year. Meeker explained that the City continued to look at the issue. He added that Georgia courts might not allow a moratorium to be extended for three years, which was why the City continued to look at and update the moratorium every year.

The motion carried unanimously

11-05-03 Consider Resolution on Distance Sex Offenders Can Live from Schools

Meeker said that Brown had asked him to look into whether the City could impose a distance requirement in excess of what state law prohibited. Meeker said, in his opinion, that the City could not do that. The resolution asked the General Assembly to consider increasing the distance requirement. The distance set forth in the resolution was 2,000 feet, which was double the distance set by state law

Brown noted that other states had already extended the distances, particularly in Florida where there were a lot of predatory crimes against children. The big concern was that a lot of children rode bikes or walked to school and lived more than 1,000 feet from the school. He wanted to prevent situations that allowed those who committed the predatory crimes to be near children in a vulnerable state, such as walking to school through greenbelts and on cart paths. The next agenda item was in tandem with the issue.

Weed asked Meeker what the Florida law distance was. Meeker said the distance requirement under Florida's general statute was 2,000 feet, and some cities had adopted 2,500 and 3,000 feet. He had not surveyed all the states with similar legislation. Kourajian asked Meeker if he thought Georgia would do the same thing as Florida. Meeker said the states had different constitutions. Weed said he would support the greatest distance and asked Meeker if he had information on the greatest distance challenged. Brown said he read that Iowa City, Iowa, had 2,500 feet challenged and won. Meeker said the greatest distance challenged and upheld was 2,500 feet. He added that the City of Kennesaw had recently approved a resolution asking for an increase in the requirement. It appeared that steam was building as far as asking the state to change the law. Kourajian asked why there was such a groundswell. Meeker said Kennesaw apparently had an extended stay motel that had six or seven registered sex offenders in residence. Kennesaw was looking at limiting the number of registered sex offenders that could live in the same complex. Weed pointed out there was a website that listed the sex offenders by community. He encouraged the public to visit the website.

Weed moved to approve the resolution with the change to 2,500 feet, that the cases be monitored and the requirement changed if the distance was greater. Kourajian seconded. The motion carried unanimously

11-05-04 Update on Status of Sex Offender Ordinance

Meeker said that Brown had also asked if loitering offenses could be prohibited. Meeker continued that he had spoken to Police Chief James Murray regarding a school safety zone. There was a state law that prohibited certain things from going within the immediate vicinity of a school. He was looking at a loitering ordinance designed towards sex offenders. He was collecting data to see if an ordinance was warranted. There needed to be a connection between people loitering near public facilities such as schools and parks and the incidents that occurred between sex offenders and their victims.

Brown said he had noticed a huge gap in the law when he was researching the issue. The laws could prohibit the distance a sex offender lived from a location, but the offender could camp out on a playground with no problem. The window needed to be closed. Brown said he knew of two instances in the City where convicted sex offenders had been seen on playgrounds. They had not been with children, but had been near the children and watching the area. One had been convicted in California, but had not registered in Georgia. The police had apprehended that person. Brown continued that offenders had a predatory nature. If allowed to loiter in areas, kids became accustomed to them. The offenders picked out the vulnerable children.

Rapson said those people were in violation of the current state law. Brown said the current state law only applied to residences and had nothing to do with movement. Brown said there would be a clearly marked zone around a school or playground. Anyone on the convicted sex offender list would be violating the law. The law would not prohibit freedom of movement, but if they stayed in the area they would be in violation of the ordinance. Meeker said he hoped to bring back a draft ordinance in the next month.

11-05-05 Consider Street Resurfacing Agreement with Fayette County

Assistant City Manager Colin Halterman said staff recommended approval of the agreement between the City and Fayette County. He continued that the agreement was done every year. Fayette County provided the equipment and labor for certain resurfacing projects, and the City provided materials, traffic control, clean-up, and striping. The work would begin in April or May.

Brown said this was the fourth year for agreements, and it had worked well. Kourajian asked if the work was evaluated every year, and if there was anyone else that could do the work. Halterman said they had looked at costs and the County's cost was less than contracting the work out. The work last year had been better than in previous years. Halterman said there was sufficient funding in the budget. Rapson said the working relationship with the County was good.

Brown said he would like for staff to look at subcontracting out some of the jobs, such as traffic control. He noted that four or five Public Works employees were pulled off their regular jobs, which were not done while they were working as flagmen. Halterman said it was difficult to find a firm or contractor that had certified flaggers. Rapson said there were liability issues associated with the flaggers, too.

Rapson moved to execute the street resurfacing agreement Fayette County and the City of Peachtree City. Weed seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

Council/Staff Topics

Kourajian asked for an update on the Three Dollar Café. City Manager Bernard McMullen said he had gone by the site, but nothing appeared to have been done other than moving some crushed stone.

Weed asked for an update on the traffic signal at Georgian Park. Developmental Services Director Clyde Stricklin said the application had been approved, but the developers were still negotiating on who would pay for it.

Rapson asked if the City was doing anything to impede the progress of the TDK Boulevard extension. McMullen said they were working with the airport and hoped to meet with the landowners soon

Brown noted the sign ordinance discussion at the October 20 meeting. He said a judge had recently ruled against a portion of the County's sign ordinance, which was the portion the City was concerned with. Weed said that underneath the current status of the law, if any portion of the law was beaten, then nine out of 10 times the Court would no longer blue-pencil the rest of the ordinance and the entire ordinance would be defeated. First Amendment cases required close scrutiny Weed said Council needed to resolve the issue soon. Rapson said he stood by his past comments. Weed said some sign ordinance was better than no sign ordinance. Rapson said the City was unique. Brown said the County used the same argument and lost the case.

Rapson moved to convene in executive session for pending litigation, real estate, and a personnel matter Kourajian seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously

Rapson moved to reconvene in regular session. Kourajian seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously

Brown moved to reaffirm acceptance of the North Wynnmeade property Kourajian seconded. The motion carried unanimously

There being no further business to discuss, Weed moved to adjourn. Rapson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously The meeting adjourned at 8.23 p.m.

Jane Miller, City Clerk

Stephen D Brown, Mayor

Pamela Dufresne, Recording Secretary

EXECUTIVE SESSION
Minutes of Meeting
November 3, 2005

Mayor Steve Brown called the executive session to order immediately following the regular meeting on Thursday, November 3, 2005. Council Members present were: Stuart Kourajian, Steve Rapson, and Murray Weed. City Manager Bernard McMullen and City Attorney Ted Meeker were also present.

City Attorney Ted Meeker referenced the purchase of the property at the Wynnmeade entrance on GA 54. He said the minutes of the second executive session recorded that there had been an agreement on the frontage of the property, and that they were working on an agreement for the back section, which was approximately 40 acres of wetlands. Council approved the frontage agreement during that executive session and the sale was closed. A few months later, the rear portion was conveyed to the City, with certain wetlands mitigation rights being retained by the previous owner. The minutes did not include a reference of the Council ratifying the transaction involving the rear portion of the property.

Rapson moved to reconvene in regular session. Kourajian seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Brown moved to reaffirm acceptance of the North Wynnmeade property. Kourajian seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

There being no further business to discuss, Weed moved to adjourn. Rapson seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 8.23 p.m.

Jane Miller, City Clerk

Stephen D. Brown, Mayor

Pamela Dufresne, Recording Secretary

CITY OF PEACHTREE CITY

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor & Council
FROM: City Manager 
DATE: November 4, 2005
SUBJECT APPOINTMENT TO THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY
November 17, 2005, Council Agenda

The Selection Committee, composed of Mayor Steve Brown, Council Member Stuart Kourajian, Authority Member Jerry Cobb and myself considered the application of incumbent Douglas Fisher for reappointment to the Airport Authority

It is the recommendation of the Committee that Mr Fisher be reappointed to serve a term from 01/01/2006 to 12/31/10

A copy of Mr Fisher's application is attached for your review

BJM/gr

Attachment

**AIRPORT AUTHORITY
APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT**

Thank you for your interest in being considered for appointment to the **Airport Authority**

Applicants must be a legal resident of the State of Georgia and have been a resident of Peachtree City for at least six months prior to the date an application is submitted. Elected officials of any governmental jurisdiction in the County and City employees are not eligible for appointment.

The Airport Authority is comprised of five members appointed to five-year terms. Meetings are held on the second Wednesday of each month at 7:00 p.m. Members are required to attend a minimum of eighty percent (80%) of all meetings. **Applicants are encouraged to attend as many Authority meetings as possible in an effort to become familiar with the responsibilities of the Authority** The Authority is responsible for the operation of Falcon Field Airport.

Please take a few minutes to complete the form below and to answer the questions on the reverse side of this letter and return it with a resume, if available, to Gloria Reid, Peachtree City Hall, 151 Willowbend Road, Peachtree City, GA 30269 by **5:00 p.m. on FRIDAY, OCTOBER 14, 2005**. The City will contact you within two weeks of the application closing date.

If you have questions, please contact Gloria Reid at 487-7657

NAME: Douglas A. Fisher

ADDRESS: 104 Regents Square

Peachtree City, GA 30269

TELEPHONE (Day Time) 770-486-6769

(Evening) (same)

(Fax #) NA

(E-mail Add:) surfkansas@comcast.net



Signature

14 OCT '05

Date

1 How long have you been a resident of Peachtree City?

Since 1998.

2. Why are you interested in serving on the Airport Authority?

Community service is an obligation; align community service with general interest in aviation; Jerry Cobb and John Crosby have requested that I re-apply

3 What qualifications and experience do you possess that would benefit the Airport Authority?

Have served for five years; I understand most of the issues and challenges faced by the airport; I bring basic business skills to the group.

4 List major jobs you have held during your working career to include name of company and position.

Partner, Cascade Consulting Partners, Inc., VP – Strategic Consulting, Manugistics, Inc., President/CEO – SurfAir, Inc., VP – Yellow Freight System, Inc., Director – Emery Air Freight.

5 Do you have any past experience relating to airport operations? If so, describe.

Five years on the KFFC Airport Authority

6. Have you attended any Airport Authority meetings in the past year and, if so, how many?

Yes – 10.

7 Are you willing to take continuing education classes?

Yes – as long as they are relevant.

8. Would there be any possible conflict of interest between your employment and you serving the Airport Authority?

No


9 Describe your current community involvement.

Five years on the Airport Authority; general involvement in kids' activities altho' they are now grown.

CITY OF PEACHTREE CITY

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO Mayor and Council

VIA: City Manager 
Assistant City Manager 

FROM: City Clerk 

DATE November 1, 2005

SUBJECT. Alcohol Licenses – Change in License Representative – Eckerd #2026,
Eckerd #3458, and Eckerd #6743
November 17, 2005, Regular Meeting

Recommendation:

Staff recommends Council consider the attached application for a change in the alcohol licenses for Eckerd #2026, Eckerd #3458, and Eckerd #6743

Discussion.

Eckerd Drugs has submitted an application for a change in the alcoholic beverage licenses at the three stores in the City – Eckerd #2026, located at 2228 Highway 54 East; Eckerd #3458, located at 101 City Circle, and Eckerd #6743, located at 1232 South Highway 74. Ms. Ericka Ellison has requested she be appointed as the License Representative at all three locations. She will attend the meeting on Thursday, November 17th, to answer any questions you may have.

Budgetary Impact:

There is no impact to the budget due to the change in the alcohol licenses.

JSM:pd

Attachments



A 21

CITY HALL
151 WILLOWBEND ROAD
PEACHTREE CITY, GA 30269
PHONE: 770-487-7657
FAX: 770-631-2505
WWW.PEACHTREE-CITY.ORG

Application For Alcoholic Beverage License

Business Name: Eckerd Drug #2026	Business Location: 2228 Highway 54 East, Peachtree City, GA 30268	
Nature of Business: Retail Drug Store	Mailing Address: Attn: Licensing Dept. 50 Service Ave. Warwick, RI	Business Phone Number: 401-6877 770-486-9776
Name of Licensee: Eckerd Corporation/Elise Kingold	Home Address: 02886 376 Marlborough St Boston, MA 02115	Home Phone Number: 617-267-5762
Name of License Representative: Enck Allison	Home Address: 100 Knight Way Apt 220 Fayetteville, GA 30214	Home Phone Number: 678-817-6709

Retail Consumption Dealer		Retail Package Dealer		Wholesale Dealer	
	Malt Beverage		Malt Beverage ✓		Malt Beverage
	Wine		Wine ✓		Wine
	Distilled Spirits		Distilled Spirits		Distilled Spirits

[illegible]

Is any person who owns an interest in the license an employee of the City of Peachtree City? YES (NO

If YES, Please Provide Name of Employee:



#28 CITY HALL
151 WILLOWBEND ROAD
PEACHTREE CITY, GA 30269
PHONE: 770-487-7657
FAX: 770-631-2505
WWW.PEACHTREE-CITY.ORG

Application For Alcoholic Beverage License

Business Name: Eckerd Drug #3458	Business Location: 101 City Circle, Peachtree City, GA 30269	Business Phone Number: 770-486-9776
Nature of Business: Retail Drug Store	Mailing Address: Attn Licensing Dept 50 Service Ave. Warwick, RI 02886	Home Phone Number: 617-267-0762
Name of Licensee: Eckerd Corporation / Elise Krings	Home Address: 376 Marlborough St. Boston, MA 02115	Home Phone Number: 678-817-6729
Name of License Representative: Ellison	Home Address: 100 Knight Way Apt 220 Fayetteville, GA 30214	

Retail Consumption Dealer		Retail Package Dealer		Wholesale Dealer	
	Malt Beverage		Malt Beverage ✓		Malt Beverage
	Wine		Wine ✓		Wine
	Distilled Spirits		Distilled Spirits		Distilled Spirits

[illegible]

Is any person who owns an interest in the license an employee of the City of Peachtree City? YES/NO

If YES, Please Provide Name of Employee:



CITY HALL
151 WILLOWBEND ROAD
PEACHTREE CITY, GA 30269
PHONE: 770-487-7657
FAX: 770-631-2505
WWW.PEACHTREE-CITY.ORG

Application For Alcoholic Beverage License

Business Name: Echard Drug #6743		Business Location: 1232 South Highway 74, Peachtree City, GA 30269		Business Phone Number: 770-631-3088	
Nature of Business: Retail Drug Store		Mailing Address: Attn: Licensing Dept 50 Service Ave Warwick, RI 02886		Home Phone Number: 617-267-0762	
Name of Licensee: Echard Corporation / Elise Feingold		Home Address: 376 Marlborough St. Boston, MA 02114		Home Phone Number: 617-817-6229	
Name of License Representative: Ellison		Home Address: 100 Knight Way Apt 220 Fayetteville, GA 30214			

Retail Consumption Dealer		Retail Package Dealer		Wholesale Dealer	
	Malt Beverage		Malt Beverage ☒		Malt Beverage
	Wine		Wine ☒		Wine
	Distilled Spirits		Distilled Spirits		Distilled Spirits

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBERS
Individual Owner's Name, Partners' Names, Corporation Name and the name of the name of a Contact Person regarding License Changes, Taxes, etc.	Please provide Home Addresses for the Individuals listed:	Please provide Home and Business Phone Numbers for Individuals listed:
See Attached		

Is any person who owns an interest in the license an employee of the City of Peachtree City? YES / **NO**

If YES, Please Provide Name of Employee: _____

ECKERD CORPORATION CORPORATE OFFICER

VICE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL

FELISE B. FEINGOLD

% of Stock: NONE

**Home Address: 376 Marlborough Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02115**

State of Georgia

City of Peachtree City

I, James V Murray, Chief of Police for the City of Peachtree City, do hereby certify that:

Ericka Ellison
Name

100 Knight Way Apt. 220 Fayetteville, GA 30214
Address

Has been investigated and found not to have been convicted of, nor to have entered a plea of nolo contendere, to any felony or misdemeanor relating to the sale or use of alcoholic beverages or illegal narcotics or drugs within five (5) years prior to the date of the application for this license.

Megan L. M. Risher
Witness

James V. Murray
Signature
Nov. 1, 2005
Date

CITY OF PEACHTREE CITY
INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO Mayor Brown and Members of Council

VIA. City Manager, ATTN Mr Bernard McMullen
Assistant City Manager, ATTN Mr Colin Haltermann
Police Chief, ATTN Chief James Murray
Director of Administrative Services, ATTN Jane Miller
Director of Financial Services, ATTN Paul Salvatore
Director of Public Services, ATTN Tom Corbett
Director of Recreational Services, ATTN Randy Gaddo

FROM Stony Lohr
Fire Chief

DATE November 3, 2005

SUBJECT Adoption of National Incident Management System (NIMS)
(Council Agenda-November 17th, 2005)

1 Recommendation Staff recommends that Council approve the attached resolution adopting the National Incident Management System (NIMS) for Peachtree City at TAB A.

2. Discussion

a Adoption of the National Incident Management System (NIMS) is a requirement of Federal Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD) 5 (TAB B)

b Georgia law (Official Code of Georgia Annotated 38-3-57) requires that all local public safety and emergency response organizations implement the standardized unified incident command system (TAB C)

c. The consequences of not complying with paragraphs a and b above include that such municipality/jurisdiction will no longer be eligible for any federal or state reimbursement for any response or recovery related expenses.

d NIMS consists of an Incident Command System (ICS), Multi-agency Coordination System/Unified Command (UC), and Public Information System (PIS).

e The Peachtree City Homeland Security and Emergency Operations Plan, January 2005, already includes Incident Command System and Unified Command We will modify it to specifically also include reference to "NIMS"

D-R-A-F-T

f Georgia Fire Academy classes already include elements of ICS, UC and NIMS Georgia Police Academy is modifying each of their courses from Basic Mandate through and including Executive Development to include elements of NIMS Georgia Emergency Management Agency also provides ICS/NIMS classes at the Georgia Public Safety Training Center

g. A number of independent study courses are available through the Emergency Management Institute on the FEMA web site (www.fema.gov) Political leaders, City Executives, Officials, and Directors, and personnel who fill ICS roles as Unified Commanders, Incident Commanders, Command Staff or General Staff should complete the IS-700 course, National Incident Management System (NIMS), An Introduction, as soon as possible and before April 2006 Similarly, all first responders should complete IS-100 or equivalent, Introduction to Incident Command System, and IS-200 or equivalent, ICS for Single Resources and Initial Action Incidents. (TAB D)

3 Budget Impact. Most classes should be available at little or no cost either in the City or at the Georgia Public Safety Training Center There could be instructor fees if we need to bring outside instructors to the city for classes Not complying with the NIMS requirements will place the city at risk of losing hundreds of thousands or millions of dollars of federal and state funding for response or recovery related expenses.

A

RESOLUTION _____

National Incident Management System (NIMS) Adoption

WHEREAS Federal Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD) 5 establishes the National Incident Management System (NIMS); and

WHEREAS NIMS establishes a single, comprehensive approach to domestic incident management to ensure that all levels of government across the nation have the capability to work efficiently and effectively together using a national approach to domestic incident management; and

WHEREAS NIMS provides a consistent nationwide approach for federal, state and local governments to work together to prepare for and respond to, and recover from domestic incidents regardless of the cause, size or complexity; and

WHEREAS NIMS provides for interoperability and compatibility among federal, state and local capabilities and includes a core set of concepts, principles, terminology and technologies covering the Incident Command System, Unified Command, training, management of resources and reporting; and

WHEREAS all Federal departments and agencies shall make adoption of the NIMS a requirement for providing federal preparedness assistance through grants, contracts or other activities to local governments; and

WHEREAS the State of Georgia has enacted through law (O.C.G.A. 38-3-57) that all local public safety and emergency response organizations, including emergency management agencies, law enforcement agencies, fire departments, and emergency medical services, shall implement the standardized unified incident command system and that those agencies that do not establish such system shall not be eligible for state reimbursement for any response or recovery related expenses.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of Peachtree City, Georgia, hereby adopts the National Incident Management System (NIMS) as established under HSPD 5 and the Unified Command System as established under O.C.G.A. 38-3-57 as its system of preparing for and responding to disaster incidents.

SO RESOLVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 2005

Stephen D Brown, Mayor

Murray Weed, Mayor Pro-Tem

Steve Rapson, Council Member


Judi-ann Rutherford, Council Member

Stuart Kourajian, Council Member

ATTEST

Jane Miller, Clerk

B



Issues

[Hurricane Relief](#)
[Homeland Security](#)
[Judicial Nominations](#)
[National Security](#)
[Renewal in Iraq](#)
[Jobs & Economy](#)
[Social Security](#)
[More Issues »](#)

Home > News & Policies > February 2003

[Printer-Friendly](#)

[Email This Page](#)

For Information
Office of the
F

News

[Current News](#)
[Press Briefings](#)
[Proclamations](#)
[Executive Orders](#)
[Radio](#)

[RSS Feeds](#)

News by Date

[August 2005](#)
[July 2005](#)
[June 2005](#)
[May 2005](#)
[April 2005](#)
[March 2005](#)
[February 2005](#)
[January 2005](#)
[December 2004](#)
[November 2004](#)
[October 2004](#)
[September 2004](#)
[August 2004](#)
[July 2004](#)
[June 2004](#)
[May 2004](#)
[April 2004](#)
[March 2004](#)
[February 2004](#)
[January 2004](#)
[December 2003](#)
[November 2003](#)
[October 2003](#)
[September 2003](#)
[August 2003](#)
[July 2003](#)
[June 2003](#)
[May 2003](#)
[April 2003](#)
[March 2003](#)
[February 2003](#)
[January 2003](#)
[December 2002](#)
[November 2002](#)
[October 2002](#)
[September 2002](#)
[August 2002](#)
[July 2002](#)
[June 2002](#)
[May 2002](#)
[April 2002](#)
[March 2002](#)
[February 2002](#)
[January 2002](#)
[December 2001](#)
[November 2001](#)
[October 2001](#)
[September 2001](#)
[August 2001](#)
[July 2001](#)

Homeland Security Presidential Directive/HSPD-5

Subject: Management of Domestic Incidents

Purpose

(1) To enhance the ability of the United States to manage domestic incidents by establishing comprehensive national incident management system.

Definitions

(2) In this directive:

(a) the term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Homeland Security

(b) the term "Federal departments and agencies" means those executive departments enumerated in 5 U.S.C. 101, together with the Department of Homeland Security; independent establishments created by 5 U.S.C. 104(1); government corporations as defined by 5 U.S.C. 103(1); and the United States Postal Service.

(c) the terms "State," "local," and the "United States" when it is used in a geographical sense have the same meanings as used in the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Public Law 107-296.

Policy

(3) To prevent, prepare for, respond to, and recover from terrorist attacks, major disasters, and other emergencies, the United States Government shall establish a single, comprehensive approach to domestic incident management. The objective of the United States Government is to ensure that all Federal departments and agencies have the capability to work efficiently and effectively together in a national approach to domestic incident management. In these efforts, with regard to domestic incident management, the United States Government treats crisis management and consequence management as an integrated function, rather than as two separate functions.

(4) The Secretary of Homeland Security is the principal Federal official for domestic incident management. Pursuant to the Homeland Security Act of 2002, the Secretary is responsible for coordinating Federal operations within the United States to prepare for, respond to, and recover from terrorist attacks, major disasters, and other emergencies. The Secretary shall coordinate the Government's resources utilized in response to or recovery from terrorist attacks, major disasters, and other emergencies if and when any one of the following four conditions applies: (1) a Federal department or agency acting under its own authority has requested the assistance of the Secretary; (2) the resources of State and local authorities are overwhelmed and Federal assistance has been requested by the appropriate State and local authorities; (3) more than one Federal department or agency is involved in the response; or (4) the Secretary determines that Federal assistance is warranted.

or other emergencies if and when any one of the following four conditions applies: (1) a Federal department or agency acting under its own authority has requested the assistance of the Secretary; (2) the resources of State and local authorities are overwhelmed and Federal assistance has been requested by the appropriate State and local authorities; (3) more than one Federal department or agency is involved in the response; or (4) the Secretary determines that Federal assistance is warranted.

- June 2001
- May 2001
- April 2001
- March 2001
- February 2001
- January 2001

Interact

- Ask the White House
- White House
- Interactive

Appointments

- Nominations
- Application




PHOTO ESSAYS



Federal Facts
Federal Statistics

West Wing
History

become substantially involved in responding to the incident; or (4) the Secretary has been directed to assume responsibility for managing the domestic incident by the President.

(5) Nothing in this directive alters, or impedes the ability to carry out, the authorities of Federal departments and agencies to perform their responsibilities under law. All Federal departments and agencies shall cooperate with the Secretary in the Secretary's domestic incident management.

(6) The Federal Government recognizes the roles and responsibilities of State and local authorities in domestic incident management. Initial responsibility for managing domestic incidents generally rests with State and local authorities. The Federal Government will assist State and local authorities when their resources are overwhelmed, or when Federal interests are involved. The Secretary will coordinate with State and local governments to ensure adequate planning, equipment, training, and exercise. The Secretary will also provide assistance to State and local governments to develop all-hazards capabilities, including those of greatest importance to the security of the United States, and ensure that State, local, and Federal plans are compatible.

(7) The Federal Government recognizes the role that the private and nongovernmental sectors play in preventing, preparing for, responding to, and recovering from terrorist attacks, major disaster emergencies. The Secretary will coordinate with the private and nongovernmental sectors to ensure adequate planning, equipment, training, and exercise activities and to promote partnerships in incident management capabilities.

(8) The Attorney General has lead responsibility for criminal investigations of terrorist acts or threats by individuals or groups inside the United States, or directed at United States citizens or institutions abroad, where such acts are within the Federal criminal jurisdiction of the United States, as well as for related intelligence collection activities within the United States, subject to the National Security Act of 1947 and other applicable law, Executive Order 12333, and Attorney General procedures pursuant to that Executive Order. Generally acting through the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Attorney General, in cooperation with other Federal departments and agencies engaged in activities to protect our national security, shall also coordinate the activities of the members of the law enforcement community to detect, prevent, preempt, and disrupt terrorism against the United States. Following a terrorist threat or an actual incident that falls within the jurisdiction of the United States, the full capabilities of the United States shall be dedicated, consistent with United States law and with activities of other Federal departments and agencies to protect national security, to assisting the Attorney General to identify the perpetrators and bring them to justice. The Attorney General and the Secretary shall establish appropriate relationships and mechanisms for cooperation and coordination between their two departments.

(9) Nothing in this directive impairs or otherwise affects the authority of the Secretary of Defense, including the chain of command for military forces from the President, the Commander in Chief, to the Secretary of Defense, to the commander of military forces, or military command and control procedures. The Secretary of Defense shall provide military support to authorities for domestic incidents as directed by the President or when consistent with military law and appropriate under the circumstances and the law. The Secretary of Defense shall retain authority over military forces providing civil support. The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary shall establish appropriate relationships and mechanisms for cooperation and coordination between their two departments.

(10) The Secretary of State has the responsibility, consistent with other United States Government activities to protect our national security, to coordinate international activities related to the preparation, response, and recovery from a domestic incident, and for the protection of United States citizens and United States interests overseas. The Secretary of State and the Secretary shall establish appropriate relationships and mechanisms for cooperation and coordination between their two departments.

(11) The Assistant to the President for Homeland Security and the Assistant to the President for Security Affairs shall be responsible for interagency policy coordination on domestic and international incident management, respectively, as directed by the President. The Assistant to the President

Homeland Security and the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs shall work ensure that the United States domestic and international incident management efforts are se united.

(12) The Secretary shall ensure that, as appropriate, information related to domestic incident and provided to the public, the private sector, State and local authorities, Federal departmen agencies, and, generally through the Assistant to the President for Homeland Security, to the The Secretary shall provide standardized, quantitative reports to the Assistant to the Preside Homeland Security on the readiness and preparedness of the Nation -- at all levels of govern prevent, prepare for, respond to, and recover from domestic incidents.

(13) Nothing in this directive shall be construed to grant to any Assistant to the President any issue orders to Federal departments and agencies, their officers, or their employees.

Tasking

(14) The heads of all Federal departments and agencies are directed to provide their full and cooperation, resources, and support, as appropriate and consistent with their own responsibi protecting our national security to the Secretary, the Attorney General, the Secretary of Defe Secretary of State in the exercise of the individual leadership responsibilities and missions a paragraphs (4), (8), (9), and (10), respectively above.

(15) The Secretary shall develop, submit for review to the Homeland Security Council, and a National Incident Management System (NIMS). This system will provide a consistent nationv approach for Federal, State, and local governments to work effectively and efficiently togethe for, respond to, and recover from domestic incidents, regardless of cause, size, or complexit for interoperability and compatibility among Federal, State, and local capabilities, the NIMS v core set of concepts, principles, terminology, and technologies covering the incident commar multi-agency coordination systems; unified command; training; identification and managemet resources (including systems for classifying types of resources); qualifications and certificatic collection, tracking, and reporting of incident information and incident resources.

(16) The Secretary shall develop, submit for review to the Homeland Security Council, and a National Response Plan (NRP) The Secretary shall consult with appropriate Assistants to th (including the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy) and the Director of the Office o and Technology Policy, and other such Federal officials as may be appropriate, in developin implementing the NRP This plan shall integrate Federal Government domestic prevention, preparedness, response, and recovery plans into one all-discipline, all-hazards plan. The NR unclassified. If certain operational aspects require classification, they shall be included in cla annexes to the NRP

(a) The NRP using the NIMS, shall, with regard to response to domestic incidents, provide tl and mechanisms for national level policy and operational direction for Federal support to Sta incident managers and for exercising direct Federal authorities and responsibilities, as appro

(b) The NRP will include protocols for operating under different threats or threat levels; incor existing Federal emergency and incident management plans (with appropriate modifications revisions) as either integrated components of the NRP or as supporting operational plans; ar opera-tional plans or annexes, as appropriate, including public affairs and intergovernmental communications.

(c) The NRP will include a consistent approach to reporting incidents, providing assessments making recommendations to the President, the Secretary, and the Homeland Security Council

(d) The NRP will include rigorous requirements for continuous improvements from testing, ex experience with incidents, and new information and technologies.

(17) The Secretary shall:

(a) By April 1, 2003, (1) develop and publish an initial version of the NRP, in consultation with Federal departments and agencies; and (2) provide the Assistant to the President for Homeland Security with a plan for full development and implementation of the NRP

(b) By June 1, 2003, (1) in consultation with Federal departments and agencies and with State governments, develop a national system of standards, guidelines, and protocols to implement and (2) establish a mechanism for ensuring ongoing management and maintenance of the NRP including regular consultation with other Federal departments and agencies and with State governments.

(c) By September 1, 2003, in consultation with Federal departments and agencies and the Assistant to the President for Homeland Security review existing authorities and regulations and prepare recommendations for the President on revisions necessary to implement fully the NRP

(18) The heads of Federal departments and agencies shall adopt the NIMS within their departments and agencies and shall provide support and assistance to the Secretary in the development and implementation of the NIMS. All Federal departments and agencies will use the NIMS in their domestic incident management and emergency prevention, preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation as well as those actions taken in support of State or local entities. The heads of Federal departments and agencies shall participate in the NRP and shall assist and support the Secretary in the development and maintenance of the NRP, and shall participate in and use domestic incident reporting system protocols established by the Secretary

(19) The head of each Federal department and agency shall:

(a) By June 1, 2003, make initial revisions to existing plans in accordance with the initial version of the NRP

(b) By August 1, 2003, submit a plan to adopt and implement the NIMS to the Secretary and the Assistant to the President for Homeland Security. The Assistant to the President for Homeland Security shall advise the President on whether such plans effectively implement the NIMS.

(20) Beginning in Fiscal Year 2005, Federal departments and agencies shall make adoption of the NIMS a requirement, to the extent permitted by law, for providing Federal preparedness assistance grants, contracts, or other activities. The Secretary shall develop standards and guidelines for determining whether a State or local entity has adopted the NIMS.

Technical and Conforming Amendments to National Security Presidential Directive-1 (NSPD-1)

(21) NSPD-1 ("Organization of the National Security Council System") is amended by replacing the third sentence of the third paragraph on the first page with the following: "The Attorney General, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall be invited to meetings pertaining to their responsibilities."

Technical and Conforming Amendments to National Security Presidential Directive-8 (NSPD-8)

(22) NSPD-8 ("National Director and Deputy National Security Advisor for Combating Terrorism") is amended by striking "and the Office of Homeland Security," on page 4, and inserting "the Department of Homeland Security, and the Homeland Security Council" in lieu thereof.

Technical and Conforming Amendments to Homeland Security Presidential Directive-2 (HSPD-2)

(23) HSPD-2 ("Combating Terrorism Through Immigration Policies") is amended as follows:

- (a) striking "the Commissioner of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS)" in the second sentence of the second paragraph in section 1, and inserting "the Secretary of Homeland Security" in lieu thereof;
- (b) striking "the INS," in the third paragraph in section 1, and inserting "the Department of Homeland Security" in lieu thereof;
- (c) inserting ", the Secretary of Homeland Security" after "The Attorney General" in the fourth sentence in section 1,
- (d) inserting ", the Secretary of Homeland Security," after "the Attorney General" in the fifth paragraph in section 1,
- (e) striking "the INS and the Customs Service" in the first sentence of the first paragraph of section 2, and inserting "the Department of Homeland Security" in lieu thereof;
- (f) striking "Customs and INS" in the first sentence of the second paragraph of section 2, and inserting "the Department of Homeland Security" in lieu thereof;
- (g) striking "the two agencies" in the second sentence of the second paragraph of section 2, and inserting "the Department of Homeland Security" in lieu thereof;
- (h) striking "the Secretary of the Treasury" wherever it appears in section 2, and inserting "the Secretary of Homeland Security" in lieu thereof;
- (i) inserting "the Secretary of Homeland Security" after "The Secretary of State" wherever it appears in section 3,
- (j) inserting ", the Department of Homeland Security," after "the Department of State," in the first sentence in the third paragraph in section 3;
- (k) inserting "the Secretary of Homeland Security," after "the Secretary of State," in the first sentence of the fifth paragraph of section 3;
- (l) striking "INS" in the first sentence of the sixth paragraph of section 3, and inserting "Department of Homeland Security" in lieu thereof;
- (m) striking "the Treasury" wherever it appears in section 4 and inserting "Homeland Security" in lieu thereof;
- (n) inserting ", the Secretary of Homeland Security," after "the Attorney General" in the first sentence of section 5, and
- (o) inserting "the Department of Homeland Security" after "State" in the first sentence of section 6.

Technical and Conforming Amendments to Homeland Security Presidential Directive-3 (HSPD-3)

(24) The Homeland Security Act of 2002 assigned the responsibility for administering the Homeland Security Advisory System to the Secretary of Homeland Security. Accordingly, HSPD-3 of March 2002 ("Homeland Security Advisory System") is amended as follows:

- (a) replacing the third sentence of the second paragraph entitled "Homeland Security Advisory System" with "Except in exigent circumstances, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall seek the advice of the Attorney General, and any other federal agency heads the Secretary deems appropriate, including members of the Homeland Security Council, on the Threat Condition to be assigned."

(b) inserting "At the request of the Secretary of Homeland Security the Department of Justice and facilitate the use of delivery systems administered or managed by the Department of Justice for purposes of delivering threat information pursuant to the Homeland Security Advisory System" after the fifth paragraph of the section entitled "Homeland Security Advisory System";

(c) inserting " the Secretary of Homeland Security" after "The Director of Central Intelligence" in the sentence of the seventh paragraph of the section entitled "Homeland Security Advisory System";

(d) striking "Attorney General" wherever it appears (except in the sentences referred to in subitem (c) above), and inserting "the Secretary of Homeland Security" in lieu thereof; and

(e) striking the section entitled "Comment and Review Periods."

GEORGE W BUSH

#


 [Printer-Friendly Version](#)

 [Email This Page](#)

[President](#) | [Vice President](#) | [First Lady](#) | [Mrs. Cheney](#) | [News & Policies](#) |
[History & Tours](#) | [Kids](#) | [Your Government](#) | [Appointments](#) | [Jobs](#) | [Contact](#) | [Text only](#)

[Accessibility](#) | [Search](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Help](#)

C



Georgia General Assembly

Legislation ▾ House ▾ Senate ▾ Information ▾ Offices ▾ Home

Match:

Search:

Georgia Code Title List

Current through 2004 Regular Session of the General Assembly

Unannotated Georgia Code - Titles

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54

Chapters	Sections	Comments: Keywords: Translation Date:09/30/2004 Translation Time:12:02:42 38-3-57 -->
38-1	38-3-1	
38-2	38-3-2	
38-3	38-3-3	
38-4	38-3-4	
	38-3-5	
	38-3-6	
	38-3-7	
	38-3-20	
	38-3-21	
	38-3-22	
	38-3-22.1	
	38-3-22.2	
	38-3-23	
	38-3-24	
	38-3-25	
	38-3-26	
	38-3-27	
	38-3-28	
	38-3-29	
	38-3-30	
	38-3-31	
	38-3-32	
	38-3-33	
	38-3-34	
	38-3-35	
	38-3-36	
	38-3-50	
		38-3-57
		(a) The Georgia Emergency Management Agency shall establish and maintain, in collaboration with all appropriate state agencies and volunteer organizations with emergency support function roles, and professional organizations that represent local public safety agencies, including the Emergency Management Association of Georgia, the Georgia Association of Police Chiefs, the Georgia Fire Chiefs' Association, and the Georgia Sheriffs' Association, a standardized, verifiable, performance-based unified incident command system
		(b) Such system shall be consistent with the Georgia Emergency Operations Plan and shall be utilized in response to emergencies and disasters referenced in the Georgia Emergency Operations Plan, including Presidentially declared disasters and states of emergency issued by the Governor
		(c) The Georgia Emergency Management Agency, in cooperation with the Georgia Public Safety Training Center and the Georgia Forestry Commission, shall develop or adopt a course of instruction for use in training and certifying emergency response personnel

<u>38-3-51</u>	in unified incident command (d) All local public safety and emergency response organizations, including emergency management agencies, law enforcement agencies, fire departments, and emergency medical services, shall implement the standardized unified incident command system provided for in subsection (a) of this Code section by October 1, 2004. (e) Local agencies that have not established such system by October 1, 2004, shall not be eligible for state reimbursement for any response or recovery related expenses.
<u>38-3-52</u>	
<u>38-3-53</u>	
<u>38-3-54</u>	
<u>38-3-55</u>	
<u>38-3-56</u>	
<u>38-3-57</u>	
<u>38-3-60</u>	
<u>38-3-61</u>	
<u>38-3-62</u>	
<u>38-3-63</u>	
<u>38-3-64</u>	
<u>38-3-70</u>	
<u>38-3-80</u>	
<u>38-3-81</u>	
<u>38-3-90</u>	
<u>38-3-91</u>	
<u>38-3-92</u>	
<u>38-3-93</u>	

Georgia General Assembly

Webmaster webmaster@legis.state.ga.us

D

NIMS Alert

NA 004-05
The NIMS Integration Center March 5 2005
NIMS-Integration-Center@dhs.gov
202-646-3850

IS 700, The NIMS Introductory Course - Who Needs to Take It?

Last September when the Secretary of Homeland Security sent a letter to the nation's governors, he outlined a series of steps that must be taken and actions that should be taken in FY 2005 to become compliant with the National Incident Management System (NIMS).

Specifically the letter said that state, territorial, tribal and local level jurisdictions should support NIMS implementation by completing the NIMS awareness course *IS 700 National Incident Management System (NIMS), An Introduction*. This independent study course explains the purpose, principles, key components and benefits of NIMS. The Secretary clearly intended to provide discretion to state, territorial, tribal and local governments in deciding who should take the course.

As further guidance, the NIMS Integration Center encourages all emergency personnel with a direct role in emergency preparedness, incident management or response to take the NIMS course by Oct. 1, 2005. It is offered free-of-charge through the Emergency Management Institute at <http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/IS/crslist.asp>

WHO SHOULD TAKE THE COURSE IN FY'05?

Executive Level – Political and government leaders, agency and organization administrators and department heads; personnel that fill ICS roles as Unified Commanders, Incident Commanders, Command Staff General Staff in either Area Command or single incidents; senior level Multi-Agency Coordination System personnel; senior emergency managers; and Emergency Operations Center Command or General Staff

Managerial Level – Agency and organization management between the executive level and first level supervision; personnel who fill ICS roles as Branch Directors, Division/Group Supervisors, Unit Leaders, technical specialists, strike team and task force leaders, single resource leaders and field supervisors; midlevel Multi-Agency Coordination System personnel; EOC Section Chiefs, Branch Directors, Unit Leaders; and other emergency management/response personnel who require a higher level of ICS/NIMS Training.

Responder Level – Emergency response providers and disaster workers, entry level to managerial level including Emergency Medical Service personnel; firefighters; medical personnel; police officers; public health personnel; public works/utility personnel; and other emergency management response personnel.

The NIMS introductory course very likely will be a required in FY'06 for state, territorial, tribal and local personnel who have emergency assignments at any level of government. Full NIMS compliance is required by Oct. 1 2006.

NOTE: We recognize that some online independent study participants are experiencing delays in gaining access to the IS-700 course. The Emergency Management Institute is addressing this and the problem will be alleviated.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
U.S. FIRE ADMINISTRATION

Emergency Management Institute

FEMA Independent Study Program

Important Notice:

1. Due to the high demands for IS-700, we have added additional sites to take the course. Please use option 2, 3 or 4 before using option 1.

2. If you are conducting training using the Virtual Campus for classroom settings please follow the steps below:

- * Make sure that every student is logged on with a unique logon name (i.e. Student1, Student2, etc.)
- * Do NOT use the same logon name for the entire class
- * If you have any questions concerning this information please contact trainwebmaster@dhs.gov

3 Due to the thousands of people completing independent study courses each week, it will take at least eight weeks to process exam results and get completion certificates mailed. We appreciate your patience and will email a notice of completion if you include your email address on all exam submissions. Completion notices will be issued within twenty-four hours for exams submitted Monday through Friday. Notices for all weekend submissions will be emailed by the following Monday afternoon. Please retain the email notice as proof of course completion until you receive your Independent Study Program Certificate of Achievement via the U.S. postal system. If you do not have an email address and need course completion verification, please call (301)447-1200

Note * **NOT all** of the courses listed below are eligible for college credit. Please refer to the Application for College Credit for a complete list of eligible courses. Click the College Credit button to the left to download an application.

Before You Begin: [Click here for Technical Information](#)

Notice to Non-US residents: You are welcome to access and use the course materials on this website. However, only individuals, with a US deliverable postal address can receive printed materials, take final exams, and/or receive certificates of completion.

To enroll in a course, view descriptions, download materials or take the final exam, select from the course list below:

*CEU 0.1 CEU= 1 Contact Hour

Course Title (course code, course name)	CEUs *	Dev Date
IS-1 Emergency Manager: An Orientation to the Position	1 0	10/02
IS-3 Radiological Emergency Management	1 0	04/97
IS-5.A An Introduction to Hazardous Materials	1 0	03/18/05

<u>IS-7 A Citizen's Guide to Disaster Assistance</u>	1 0	05/04 rev
<u>IS-8 Building for the Earthquakes of Tomorrow. Complying with Executive Order 12699</u>	1.0	rev pending
<u>IS-10 Animals in Disaster, Module A. Awareness and Preparedness</u>	1.0	05/98
<u>IS-11 Animals in Disaster, Module B. Community Planning</u>	1 0	05/98
<u>IS-15.A Special Events Contingency Planning for Public Safety Agencies</u> New	0.4	10/05
<u>IS-22 Are You Ready? An In-depth Guide to Citizen Preparedness</u>	1 0	09/04
<u>IS-55 Household Hazardous Materials - A Guide for Citizens</u>	0.3	03/04
<u>IS-100 Introduction to Incident Command System, I-100</u>	0 3	06/05
<u>IS-100.FW Introduction to the Incident Command System, I-100, for Federal Disaster Workers</u>	0.3	06/05
<u>IS-100.LE - Introduction to the Incident Command System, I-100, for Law Enforcement</u>	0.3	07/05
<u>IS-100.PW Introduction to the Incident Command System, I-100, for Public Works Personnel</u>	0.3	06/05
<u>IS-111 Livestock in Disasters</u>	1 0	10/02
<u>IS-120 An Orientation to Community Disaster Exercises</u>	1 0	07/95
<u>IS-139 Exercise Design</u>	1.5	03/03

<u>Professional Development Series (Click for more details)</u>	n/a	n/a
<u>IS-200 ICS for Single Resources and Initial Action Incidents</u> New	0.3	10/05
<u>IS-200.FW Basic Incident Command System, I-200, for Federal Disaster Workers</u>	0.3	09/04
<u>IS-208 State Disaster Management</u>	1 0	01/03
<u>IS-230 Principles of Emergency Management - Professional Development Series (Click for more details)</u>	1 0	03/03
<u>IS-235 Emergency Planning - Professional Development Series (Click for more details)</u>	1.0	03/03
<u>IS-240 Leadership & Influence - Professional Development Series (Click for more details)</u>	0 9	06/02
<u>IS-241 Decision Making and Problem Solving - Professional Development Series (Click for more details)</u>	0.8	06/02
<u>IS-242 Effective Communication - Professional Development Series (Click for more details)</u>	0.8	06/02
<u>IS-244 Developing and Managing Volunteers - Professional Development Series (Click for more details)</u>	1.0	02/03
<u>IS-253 Coordinating Environmental and Historic Preservation Compliance</u>	1 0	04/04
<u>IS-271 Anticipating Hazardous Weather & Community Risk</u>	1.0	03/01
<u>IS-275 The EOC's Role in Community Preparedness, Response and Recovery Activities</u>	1.0	07/95
<u>IS-279 Engineering Principles and Practices for Retrofitting Flood-Prone Residential Structures</u>	1.0	01/95

<u>IS-288 The Role of Voluntary Agencies in Emergency Management</u>	1 0	01/99
<u>IS-292 Disaster Basics</u>	1 0	11/02
<u>IS-301 Radiological Emergency Response</u>	1.0	10/99
<u>IS-302 Modular Emergency Radiological Response Transportation Training</u>	1 0	06/05
<u>IS-317 Introduction to Community Emergency Response Teams</u>	0.8	11/03
<u>IS-324 Community Hurricane Preparedness</u>	1.0	01/99
<u>IS-330 Refresher Course for Radiological Response</u>	1.0	07/93
<u>IS-331 Introduction to Radiological Emergency Preparedness (REP) Exercise Evaluation</u>	1 0	04/04
<u>IS-340 Hazardous Materials Prevention</u>	1 0	01/04
<u>IS-346 An Orientation to Hazardous Materials for Medical Personnel</u>	1.0	rev 01/03
<u>IS-362 Multi-Hazard Emergency Planning for Schools</u>	0.8	01/04
<u>IS-386 Introduction to Residential Coastal Construction</u>	1 4	09/01
<u>IS-393 Introduction to Mitigation</u>	1.0	04/98
<u>IS-394 Mitigation for Homeowners</u>	1 0	04/99
<u>IS-513 The Professional in Emergency Management</u>	1 0	03/99

		currently under rev
<u>IS-546 Continuity of Operations (COOP) Awareness Course</u>	0 1	01/05
<u>IS-547 Introduction to Continuity of Operations (COOP)</u>	0.5	01/05
<u>IS-600 Special Considerations for FEMA Public Assistance Projects</u>	1 0	07/99
<u>IS-630 Introduction to the Public Assistance Process</u>	0.2	11/00
<u>IS-631 Public Assistance Operations I</u>	0.7	08/02
<u>IS-632 Introduction to Debris Operations in FEMA's Public Assistance Program</u>	0.5	08/02
<u>IS-650 Building Partnerships with Tribal Governments</u>	1 0	01/04
<u>IS-700 National Incident Management System (NIMS), An Introduction</u>	0.3	05/04
<u>IS-800 National Response Plan (NRP), An Introduction</u>	0.3	09/04

Last Updated October 27, 2005

[Accessibility](#) | [FAQ's](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Contact Us](#)


An official web site of the [Department of Homeland Security](#) and the [Federal Emergency Management Agency](#)
 U.S. Fire Administration, 16825 S. Seton Ave., Emmitsburg, MD 21727
 Voice: (301) 447-1000 Fax: (301) 447 1346 Admissions Fax: (301) 447-1441

USFA Follows Web Standards set by the W3C.

CITY OF PEACHTREE CITY

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor & Council Members

VIA: City Manager 

FROM: Administrative Services Director / City Clerk *jam (AST)*

DATE: November 11, 2005

SUBJECT: Certify Election Results
November 17, 2005 Agenda

Recommendation. That Council certify the election results.

Discussion. The municipal election was held on November 8, 2005. Council is required to certify the results. The official results will be available next week and will be placed on the dais for council consideration at the November 17, 2005, meeting.

Budget Impact: None

CITY OF PEACHTREE CITY

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor and City Council

VIA: City Manager *Donna J. Mulla*
Financial Services Director *PS*
Purchasing Agent *PS*
Developmental Services Director *CWS*

FROM: City Engineer *David*

DATE: November 8, 2005

SUBJECT: Flood Map Modernization Memorandum of Agreement
November 17 City Council Agenda

Recommendation.

For Council to authorize the Mayor to sign the attached Memorandum of Agreement with the State.

Discussion.

The State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) has entered into an agreement with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to update local Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). This means that the DNR will be modernizing and updating the Fayette County FIRMs into a digital (GIS) product with aerials, roads, drainage basins and lots of other features (see attached sample). The DNR will also be providing new Limited Detail Studies in a few areas and incorporating new studies done by others. No new Detailed Analyses will be done, and no new delineation based on new topography will be done.

In signing the agreement, the City agrees to the conditions on page 15 of the attached letter from the DNR.

Budget Impact:

There will be no additional cost to the City for this project, but there will be some staff time involved.

Attachments

cc City Engineer's File
Jennis Rice (w/copy of approved memo for PO)
Gloria Reid (w/archival cover sheet)

Georgia Department of Natural Resources

2 Martin Luther King, Jr., Dr., SE, Floyd Towers East, Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Reply to:
Floodplain Management
Room 440
7 Martin Luther King, Jr., Drive
Atlanta, Georgia 30334
(404) 656-6382; Fax (404) 656-6383

Noel Holcomb, Commissioner
Carol A. Couch, Ph.D., Director
Environmental Protection Division

October 25, 2005

Received

The Honorable Steve Brown
Mayor
City of Peachtree City
151 Willowbend Road
Peachtree City, Georgia 30269

OCT 31 2005

Office of City Engineer
Peachtree City, GA

Dear Mayor Brown:

I am pleased to welcome you to the next phase of Georgia's Map Modernization Program. As part of a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) Agreement with FEMA, Georgia Department of Natural Resources (DNR) – Environmental Protection Division (EPD) has accepted delegation and responsibility of updating the flood maps for the State of Georgia. This includes 159 counties and municipalities throughout the State.

To effectively manage the Map Modernization Program, EPD will need the full support and involvement of local communities. Attached is a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) that details the combined flood mapping effort between EPD and your community. After you have reviewed the MOA, please sign the last page and return to my attention within 30 days of receipt of this letter. A fully executed copy will be returned you.

My office will continue to work closely with you throughout this project to provide any assistance we can. Should you have questions regarding the MOA, please contact me at (404) 656-6382.

Sincerely,



Collis O. Brown, CFM
State Floodplain Management Coordinator

Enclosures

cc: David Borkowski – City Engineer

RECEIVED OCT 27 2005

Georgia Flood Map Modernization Program
Flood Map Update Project for Fayette County
Memorandum of Agreement

Introduction

On October 28, 2004, a flood map update scoping meeting was held at 8.30am, in the City of Fayetteville, within Fayette County. Attachment B to this document provides a list of the meeting attendees. During and after the scoping meeting, communities (the county and municipalities) submitted flood map update requests (needs) to the State of Georgia. This Memorandum of Agreement documents the flood map update needs received, any data provided by the communities to aid in the flood map updates, and the associated actions to be taken by the State of Georgia in developing a Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM).

We ask that you review this memorandum in detail and contact us with comments or questions within 30 days of receipt. Once you have reviewed the document and had any questions answered, please sign the last page of this document and return the original to the address below for signature by the State. Please direct all correspondence to:

Collis O. Brown, CFM
State Coordinator
Georgia Department of Natural Resources
Environmental Protection Division
7 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, Suite 440
Atlanta, GA 30334

(404) 656-6382 (Phone)
(404) 656-6383 (Fax)
collis_brown@dnr.state.ga.us

This document contains several attachments, as follows:

- Attachment A. Glossary of terms used in the memorandum
- Attachment B. List of scoping meeting attendees
- Attachment C. List of map update needs received and actions to be taken
- Attachment D. List of Redelineation to be conducted
- Attachment E. List of New Limited Detail Study to be conducted
- Attachment F. List of Studies by Others to be incorporated
- Attachment G. List of Known Letters of Map Amendment or Letters of Map Revision within Fayette County to be reviewed for Incorporation into DFIRM

Background

On August 16, 1999, the State of Georgia entered into a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement with FEMA Region IV. This agreement provides that the State of Georgia will assume responsibility for the development and updating of DFIRMs within all 159 Counties in the State. Through this agreement, the State is provided funding by FEMA for the production of DFIRMs as well as for the management of

the DFIRM process. The amount of funding provided to the State is determined by FEMA Region IV each fiscal year, and is a function of the success of the State in producing DFIRMs, the ability of the State to obtain cost-share contributions from State or local sources, and the effectiveness of the State in linking improved floodplain management with improved floodplain mapping. The State is utilizing both state staff and consulting engineering firms to perform this work. The State's progress towards its objective is monitored quarterly by FEMA, and their agent, the National Service Provider (NSP).

The State faces a number of constraints in preparing DFIRMs. These include:

- **Limited funding:** The funding available to the State is limited, and the yearly competition for funds is significant. There are not enough funds available to meet all identified flood map update needs. The State must equitably distribute available funds and utilize the funds for tasks that provide a high benefit at a modest cost. The State must, at a minimum, digitize the existing paper FIRMs for each County and create a DFIRM.
- **Cost share:** The State is obligated to provide a minimum of 20% cost share to match the funds received from FEMA. This cost share can come in the form of in-kind services or technical data from the State, localities, or Federal agencies. Digital topographic data, digital orthophotos, and watershed study data are three of the most highly-credited forms of cost-share. It is less expensive to develop new floodplain mapping in Counties where recent, accurate, topographic data is already available.
- **Production goals:** FEMA is required to meet certain DFIRM production goals each fiscal year. The State, in turn, must meet those same goals. FEMA's national plan for DFIRM production generally depends on funding a flood study in the middle of the first fiscal year, producing a preliminary DFIRM late in the next fiscal year, and legally adopting that map as the effective FIRM late in the third fiscal year. This constraint means that the State generally cannot afford to delay a flood map update project while waiting for data to be provided, or for other studies to be conducted. Once a project is scoped and scheduled, production must proceed rapidly, and additional data often cannot be incorporated into the process after the Memorandum of Agreement is executed.
- **National technical standards:** The DFIRMs produced are used for insurance rating purposes and must be based on consistent technical standards. These standards specify the accuracy of the topographic data used for the mapping process, the age and resolution of the orthophotos or other background maps, and the overall look of the DFIRM. While cost-share in the form of technical data from communities is highly desirable, certain data may not meet FEMA's requirements, depending on the type of study being conducted. The State will advise the County as how to utilize available data or provide sufficient data for the type of study being conducted in accordance with the local communities needs and available funding.

Updates on Project Status or Requests for Information

For updates on the Status of the DFIRM production project for Fayette County, please contact either of the following people.

Point of Contact	Point of Contact
Collis O. Brown, CFM State Coordinator (404) 656-6382 collis_brown@dnr.state.ga.us	Mike DePue, PE, CFM Project Manager (800) 697-7275 x227 mdepue@pbsj.com

Important Features of the DFIRM to be Produced

Below are several important features of the DFIRM to be produced for Fayette County Please review

DFIRM Features	
Vertical Datum of New DFIRM	NAVD88
Coordinate System of New DFIRM	NAD83, State Plane West
Background Base Map of new DFIRM	Black & White Orthophotos, dated August 1999, provided by Fayette County
Source of Community Corporate Boundary Information	Shapefile, dated September 14, 2004, provided by Fayette County
Letters of Map Amendment or Letters of Map Revision to be reviewed for incorporation into the new DFIRM	See Attachment G

Technical Data Received by the State for Fayette County

The following technical data was received by the State for use in the Fayette County DFIRM update process. The table below details that data received and how that data will be utilized in the DFIRM production process.

Data Received	How Data Will Be Utilized
6-inch resolution Black & White Orthophotos, dated August 1999, provided by Fayette County	Orthophotos will be used as the back base map of the new DFIRM.
Topographic data with a 4-foot contour interval, based on USGS Digital Elevation Models, provided by Fayette County	Topographic data will be used to develop hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for limited detail studies.
Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for the Nash Creek tributaries, Ginger Cake tributaries, and Perry Creek, provided by Fayette County	Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will be reviewed for completeness and technical accuracy and incorporated into the new DFIRM.
Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for the Ginger Cake tributary, provided by Fayette County	Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will be reviewed for completeness and technical accuracy and incorporated into the new DFIRM
Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses for Shoal Creek, provided by Fayette County	Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will be reviewed for completeness and technical accuracy and incorporated into the new DFIRM

Summary of Fayette County DFIRM

The new DFIRM for Fayette County and Incorporated Areas will include the Cities of Brooks, Fayetteville, Peachtree City, Tyrone, and Woolsey; and the unincorporated areas of Fayette County. Black & white orthophotos, dated August 1999, provided by Fayette County, will be used as the background base map for the new DFIRM. In addition, approximately 13.7 stream miles of limited detail study will be completed using the topographic information provided by Fayette County and approximately 10.8 stream miles of submitted hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will be incorporated into the new DFIRM. Detailed studies will not be completed for Fayette County because of constraints in the available budget. All map maintenance needs, such as incorporating LOMAs and LOMRs and updating corporate limits, will be completed as part of the standard DFIRM production procedure.

Attachment A. Glossary of Terms Used

Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) – The elevation of a flood having a 1-percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year

Detailed Study – A flood hazard study that, at a minimum, results in the delineation of the floodplain boundaries for the 1-percent-annual-chance (100-year) flood and the determination of BFEs or flood depths.

DFIRM – A Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) that has been prepared as a digital product, which may involve converting an existing manually produced FIRM to digital format, or creating a product from new digital data sources using a Geographic Information System environment. The DFIRM product allows for the creation of interactive, multi-hazard digital maps. Linkages are built into an associated database to allow users options to access the engineering backup material used to develop the DFIRM, such as hydrologic and hydraulic models, Flood Profiles, data tables, Digital Elevation Models, and structure-specific data, such as digital elevation certificates and digital photographs of bridges and culverts.

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) – The insurance and floodplain management map produced by FEMA that identifies, based on detailed or approximate analyses, the areas subject to flooding during a 1-percent-annual-chance (100-year) flood event in a community. Flood insurance risk zones, which are used to compute actuarial flood insurance rates, also are shown. In areas studied by detailed analyses, the FIRM shows BFEs to reflect the elevations of the 1-percent-annual-chance flood. For many communities, when detailed analyses are performed, the FIRM also may show areas inundated by 0.2%-percent-annual-chance (500-year) flood and regulatory floodway areas.

Orthophotograph – Aerial photographs that have been rectified to produce an accurate image of the Earth by removing tilt and relief displacements that occurred when the photo was taken. An orthophotograph has the same scale throughout and can be used as a map.

Flood Insurance Study (FIS) – The examination, evaluation, and determination of flood hazards performed for a community. This report contains the information found during the study of the community's flooding sources including study methodology, source data, discharges, water surface elevations, flood profiles, and references.

Floodway Data Table (FDT) – The Floodway Data Table is found in the Flood Insurance Study for a community gives details regarding the floodway at cross sections of studied flooding sources in the community. The information provided includes: Cross Section, distance, floodway width, section area of floodway, mean velocity in the floodway, floodway surcharge and the base flood water surface elevations for the regulatory floodway, with and without floodway scenarios.

Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) – An official determination by FEMA that a property has been inadvertently included in an SFHA as shown on an effective FIRM and is not subject to inundation by the 1-percent-annual-chance flood. Generally, the property is located on natural high ground at or above the BFE or on fill placed prior to the effective date of the first FIRM map designating the property as within an SFHA.

Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) – A letter issued by FEMA to revise the FIRM and/or FIS report for a community to change BFEs, floodplain and floodway boundary delineations, and coastal hazard areas.

Limited Detail Study – The method of Special Flood Hazard (SFHA) identification can be used if a Triangular Irregular Network (TIN) of Light Radar Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) data (or other digital elevation data) with break lines is available. Cross Sections and road data are obtained from these data. Openings of structures and Manning's "n" values may be estimated with limited field inspections. No new field survey is taken. A GIS-based tool may be used to create cross section and structure data for the HEC-RAS program to determine the 1-percent-annual-chance water-surface-elevations. Because the 1-percent-annual-chance water-surface elevations are determined using approximate hydrologic and hydraulic methods with topographic and structural data, BFEs will not be shown on the FIRM but will be provided to the community and may be used as 'best available data' for floodplain management regulatory purposes.

Redelineation – This method of SFHA identification can only be used for previously studied streams. Using this method, the SFHA boundary is redefined using the effective water surface elevations superimposed on updated topography. No changes are made to the currently effective model. This case involves situations for which new topographic data exists for a study reach or entire county. The new topographic data is more recent and of higher quality than the topographic data originally used in the effective study. When the original hydraulic model is not available digitally but the model is correct, the effective FEMA profile forms the basis of the redelineation. The appropriate vertical datum conversion is applied, and the revised flood boundaries are mapped on the new topographic source. In this case, the BFEs will be republished on the DFIRM. The deliverable will be digital flood boundaries that match best available topographic data, recreated flood profiles, and floodway data tables meeting FEMA's *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*.

Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) – The area delineated on a National Flood Insurance Program map as being subject to inundation by the base flood. SFHAs are determined using statistical analyses of records of riverflow, storm tides, and rainfall, information obtained through consultation with a community; floodplain topographic surveys; and hydrologic and hydraulic analyses.

Attachment B List of Scoping Meeting Attendees

Name	Title	Organization/Affiliation
Chris Hindman	Supervisor, Technical Services	City of Fayetteville
Don Easterbrook	Director, Public Services	City of Fayetteville
Wendell Madison	Interim City Engineer	City of Peachtree City
Chris Cofty	Administrator	Fayette County
Christine Venice	Planning Director	Fayette County
Dennis Davenport	Assistant County Attorney	Fayette County
Ellen Mills	Assessor	Fayette County
Kaye Nolan	Engineer	Fayette County
Pete Frisina	Assistant Planning Director	Fayette County
Pete Nelms	Emergency Management	Fayette County
Phil Mallon	Director, Engineering	Fayette County
Ron Salmons	Engineer	Fayette County
Ron Feldner	Engineer	Integrated Science and Engineering
Collis O Brown	Georgia NFIP Coordinator	Georgia Department of Natural Resources

Attachment C. List of map update needs received and actions to be taken

Map Update Need	Source of Need	Total Stream Mileage Affected	Action Required to Meet	Action to be Taken
Update corporate limits.	Fayette County	N/A	Map Maintenance	Fayette County and community corporate limits will be updated using shapefile, dated September 14, 2004, provided by Fayette County
Update road names and locations.	Fayette County	N/A	Map Maintenance	Road names and locations will be updated using the shapefile, dated February 11, 2005, provided by Fayette County.
Incorporate LOMRs.	Fayette County	N/A	Map Maintenance	LOMAs and LOMRs will be reviewed and incorporated as appropriate as part of the standard DFIRM production procedure.
Incorporate a 2004 study for the Nash Creek tributaries, Ginger Cake Creek tributaries, and Perry Creek.	City of Fayetteville/Fayette County	6.5	Incorporate Data by Others	Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will be reviewed for completeness and technical accuracy and incorporated into the new DFIRMs as appropriate.
Incorporate a 2002 study for a Ginger Cake Creek tributary, from its confluence and New Hope Road.	Fayette County	1.2	Incorporate Data by Others	Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will be reviewed for completeness and technical accuracy and incorporated into the new DFIRMs as appropriate.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for a portion of Ginger Cake Creek tributaries (Zone A).	City of Fayetteville	1.3	Limited Detail Study	A total of 13.7 miles of limited detail study to be developed as shown in Attachment E.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for Lake Horton Dam and surrounding flooding sources Antioch Creek, Horton Creek, and Woolsey Creek (all Zone A).	Fayette County	7.0	Limited Detail Study	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Updated detailed floodplain study needed for a portion of Camp Creek (Zone AE) – Peachtree Parkway extended across Camp Creek (panel 0090).	Fayette County	0.4	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Updated detailed floodplain study needed for a portion of Camp Creek (Zone AE) – new residential development from Cross Section J to the county limit (panel 0035).	Fayette County	0.4	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for an unnamed tributary to Camp Creek – existing and proposed development from its confluence to approximately 1.6 miles upstream of the confluence (panel 0035).	Fayette County	1.6	Limited Detail Study	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for an unnamed tributary to Nash Creek (Zone A), from its confluence to Jeff Davis Road (panel 0085).	Fayette County	2.4	Limited Detail Study	A total of 13.7 miles of limited detail study to be developed as shown in Attachment E.

Map Update Need	Source of Need	Total Stream Mileage Affected	Action Required to Meet	Action to be Taken
Approximate or Limited Detail study needed for an unnamed tributary to Nash Creek (Zone X unshaded), from its confluence to approximately 2.2 miles upstream of the confluence (panel 0085) - new impoundment upstream of residential development.	Fayette County	2.2	Limited Detail Study/Approximate Study	None - Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Incorporate a study for Tributary 8.	City of Peachtree City	1.0	Incorporate Data by Others	Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will be reviewed for completeness and technical accuracy and incorporated into the new DFIRMs as appropriate.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for an unnamed tributary to Pelham Creek (Zone A), from Lake Edith and Lake Victor (panel 0080) - Lake Victor Dam failed and has not been rebuilt.	Fayette County	1.0	Limited Detail Study	None - Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Updated detailed floodplain study for a portion of Flat Creek (Zone AE), from Cross Sections S to L (panel 0080).	Fayette County	0.5	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None - Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Incorporate a December 1996 LOMR for an unnamed tributary to Whitewater Creek (Zone A), upstream of Redwine Road (panel 0090).	Fayette County	1.6	Map Maintenance	LOMAs and LOMRs will be reviewed and incorporated as appropriate as part of the standard DFIRM production procedure.
Updated detailed floodplain study for a portion of Flat Creek (Zone AE), from Cross Section B to D (panel 0090).	Fayette County	1.0	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None - Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for Line Creek (Zone A), south of State Route 85 - Line Creek Estates.	Fayette County	4.0	Limited Detail Study	A total of 13.7 miles of limited detail study to be developed as shown in Attachment E.
Updated detailed floodplain study needed for a portion of Whitewater Creek (Zone AE), north of State Route 54 (panel 0080) road location also needs to be updated for Hood Road.	Fayette County	1.7	Redelineation/Map Maintenance	None - Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Updated detailed floodplain study for Tributary 2, Tributary 3, and Tributary 4 (Zone AE panel 0080).	City of Peachtree/Fayette County	2.6	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None - Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Limited Detailed study needed for Line Creek tributaries (Zone A), State Route 54 to the City of Peachtree corporate limits pipe and channel are inadequate.	City of Peachtree	1.4	Limited Detail Study	None - Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Updated detailed floodplain study needed for a portion Whitewater Creek (Zone AE), from Lees Mill Road to Cross Section BB (panel 0040).	Fayette County	0.9	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None - Cost to complete exceeds available budget.

Map Update Need	Source of Need	Total Stream Mileage Affected	Action Required to Meet	Action to be Taken
New detailed floodplain study needed for a portion of Line Creek (Zone AE), near Castlewood Road (panel 0020) – 2 homes flood.	Fayette County	0.2	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for Zone A for portion of Whitewater Creek, Residential growth area along Padgett Road.	Fayette County	4.0	Limited Detail Study	A total of 13.7 miles of limited detail study to be developed as shown in Attachment E.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for Shoal Creek (Zone A), from confluence to 2,000 feet upstream of State Route 85.	Fayette County	1.8	Limited Detail Study	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for Zone A floodplains on panels 0090, 0095, and 0125.	Fayette County	32.0	Limited Detail Study	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Updated detailed floodplain for Whitewater Creek (Zone AE).	City of Woolsey	20.0	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for the Pye Lake Tributary (panel 0085).	City of Fayetteville	N/A	Limited Detail Study	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Updated detailed floodplain study needed for a portion of Flint River (Zone AE), from Mud Bridge Road to old Farm Road.	Fayette County	0.4	Detailed Study/Redelineation	None – Cost to complete exceeds available budget.
Limited Detail floodplain study needed for Haddock Creek (Zone A).	Fayette County	2.0	Limited Detail Study	A total of 13.7 miles of limited detail study to be developed as shown in Attachment E.

Attachment D: List of Redelineation to be conducted

None

Attachment E. List of New Limited Detail Study to be conducted

Flooding Source	Reach Description	Watershed	Watershed Urban/Rural	Reach Length (miles)	# Structures in Reach	Source of Topography	Accuracy of Topography	New Hydrology Methodology	New Hydraulics Methodology	Justification
Whitewater Creek	From confluence to State Route 85	Line Creek	Rural	5.3	3	Fayette County	4 foot contours	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS	Currently no flood data shown on FIRM and/or new development in or near floodplain.
Nash Creek Tributary	From confluence to Jeff Davis Road	Ginger Cake Creek	Urban	2.4	4	Fayette County	4 foot contours	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS	Currently no flood data shown on FIRM and/or new development in or near floodplain.
Line Creek	From confluence of Whitewater Creek to State Route 85	Line Creek	Rural	4.0	4	Fayette County	4 foot contours	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS	Currently no flood data shown on FIRM and/or new development in or near floodplain.
Haddock Creek	From confluence to approximately 2.0 miles upstream of confluence	Whitewater Creek	Rural	2.0	1	Fayette County	4 foot contours	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS	Currently no flood data shown on FIRM and/or new development in or near floodplain.

SUM = 13.7 12

Attachment F- List of Studies by Others to be incorporated

Flooding Source	Reach Description	Reach Length (miles)	Existing Zone	Miles of New Detailed Study to Create	Miles of New Hydrology to Incorporate	Digital Hydrology, Hydraulics, FP Map available ?	Ties in to effective ?	Hydrology Methodology	Hydraulics Methodology	Justification
Nash Creek tributaries, Ginger Cake Creek tributaries, and Perry Creek	Nash Creek tributaries from State Route 54 to Jeff Davis Road.	6.5	Zones AE and A	6.5	6.5	6.5				
	Ginger Cake Creek tributaries from confluence with Ginger Cake Creek to Beauregard Boulevard									
	Perry Creek from 2,000 feet downstream of Ramah Road to Lee Street									
Ginger Cake Creek tributary	From confluence with Ginger Cake Creek to Hope Road	2.5	Zone X (Unshaded)	2.5	2.5	2.5				
Shoal Creek	From Bernhard Road to Seneca Place	1.8	Zone A	1.8	1.8	1.8				
SUM =		10.8								

Attachment G: List of Known Letters of Map Amendment or Letters of Map Revision within County to be reviewed for Incorporation into DFIRM

County	CID	Community Name	LOMC Type	Case Number	Case Received	Determination Date	Determination Type
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	102A	95-04-085P	12/07/94	12/20/96	102A No BFE change
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	891-030	11/21/88	11/21/88	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	971-020	10/07/96	11/27/96	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	964-397	09/23/96	03/06/97	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	R4-974-214	09/02/97	09/18/97	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	97-04-2222A	09/18/97	01/16/98	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	R4-983-190	05/21/98	06/25/98	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	R4-983-197	06/03/98	07/08/98	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	98-04-2616A	08/18/98	10/07/98	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	00-04-0370A	10/25/99	11/23/99	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	00-04-1130A	12/15/99	02/10/00	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	01-04-4668A	06/12/01	09/26/01	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	01-04-6144A	08/13/01	10/03/01	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	01-04-7008A	09/19/01	11/07/01	
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	01-04-5700A	07/26/01	12/05/01	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	02-04-2062X	01/02/02	01/16/02	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	03-04-1618A	11/27/02	12/26/02	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	03-04-1662A	12/02/02	02/06/03	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	03-04-4234A	02/28/03	04/24/03	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	04-04-0208A	10/07/03	11/13/03	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	04-04-0304A	10/10/03	12/18/03	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	04-04-2170A	12/16/03	03/11/04	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	04-04-3444A	02/02/04	03/25/04	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	04-04-5016A	03/16/04	04/23/04	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130432	Fayette County	LOMA	04-04-8354A	07/22/04	08/23/04	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130431	City of Fayetteville	LOMA	952-191	03/09/95	05/30/95	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130431	City of Fayetteville	LOMA	953-153	05/30/95	09/07/95	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130431	City of Fayetteville	LOMA	964-223	08/20/96	11/19/96	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130431	City of Fayetteville	LOMA	R4-973-098	04/22/97	11/26/97	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130431	City of Fayetteville	LOMA	00-04-3494A	05/09/00	06/06/00	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130431	City of Fayetteville	LOMA	03-04-5822A	04/14/03	09/18/03	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	102		09/13/84	01/18/85	102 BFE change
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	971-139	11/12/96	02/04/97	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	R4-981-033	10/06/97	11/12/97	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	R4-982-130	02/13/98	06/02/98	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	99-04-4500A	06/17/99	09/23/99	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	00-04-4444A	06/22/00	09/05/00	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	00-04-5644A	09/01/00	11/16/00	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	01-04-2738A	03/14/01	03/28/01	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	01-04-2920A	03/23/01	04/13/01	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved

County	CID	Community Name	LOMC Type	Case Number	Case Received	Determination Date	Determination Type
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	02-04-4592A	03/25/02	04/10/02	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	03-04-1360A	11/19/02	01/30/03	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	03-04-8500A	07/11/03	08/05/03	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMA	04-04-6058A	04/19/04	06/07/04	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMR-F	99-04-3998A	05/24/99	07/30/99	218-65 Inadvertent inclusion in floodway
Fayette County	130078	City of Peachtree City	LOMR-F	01-04-4468A	06/05/01	09/12/01	218-65 Inadvertent inclusion in floodway
Fayette County	130493	Town of Tyrone	LOMA	R4-973-179	05/28/97	07/03/97	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130493	Town of Tyrone	LOMA	02-04-3522A	02/22/02	05/22/02	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved
Fayette County	130493	Town of Tyrone	LOMA	02-04-8914A	09/04/02	09/25/02	2000/218-70 No Fill Involved

Signature Page

The undersigned acknowledge that they have reviewed the Memorandum of Agreement detailing the Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) scope of work for Fayette County, Georgia. Both parties agree to

- work together to produce the best DFIRM possible given the constraints of the program,
- adopt and enforce the new DFIRM once it becomes effective;
- amend, revise, or update local floodplain management ordinance as needed,
- communicate and openly discuss concerns during the process, and,
- appropriately transition this knowledge to their successors, if any

City of Peachtree City

Community Name (city, town, or county)

Community Representative

Title

Date

Collis O Brown, CFM


State Coordinator

Title

Date

spatial data items and tables that are not in the Standard version. These include the following:

- Subbasins with links to discharges, storm data, and regression equations;
- Gages, including rain gages, river gages, and coastal gages;
- Nodes with links to node discharge data and zipped hydrologic model(s);
- Profile base lines;
- Overbank flow paths;
- Additional cross section data including links to a frequency (rating) table and the zipped hydraulic model(s);
- Additional coastal transect data including links to the zipped coastal model(s);
- Primary frontal dunes;
- Modeled coastal shorelines;
- An outline of the studied area(s) with links to FEMA case information;
- Photographs, sketches, etc. linked to spatial features;
- Documentation for variable data that may be developed for the flood study (e.g., topographic data, land use, soils, roughness);
- Zipped files containing general information on methodology (e.g., Technical Support Data Notebook); and
- Zipped Flood Insurance Study (FIS) documents (e.g., FIS text, flood profiles, Floodway Data Tables).



Review Comments

The Enhanced DFIRM Database design has been circulated for review to FEMA's customers, partners, and contractors. Prototype Enhanced DFIRM Databases will be developed over the next few months and any lessons learned from prototyping will be incorporated into the final design.

If you have comments on the Enhanced DFIRM Database design, you can E-mail your comments or provide them by facsimile to (703) 206-0845. If you provide comments via facsimile, please include "DFIRM Database Review" on your cover sheet.

If possible, we would be interested in specific recommendations and/or explanations in your review comments. Additionally, we would be interested in your thoughts on the potential cost implications of implementing the Enhanced DFIRM Database.

Documents

1. Specifications for the Enhanced DFIRM Database as detailed in FEMA's *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, Appendix L. As noted above, this document now includes all elements of the Standard and Enhanced DFIRM Database in one document with indicators that identify which items are Standard and which are Enhanced.
2. Table Relationship Diagram(s) of the Combined Standard and Enhanced DFIRM Database.
3. Combined Standard and Enhanced DFIRM Database, submitted and distributed versions (zipped Microsoft Access databases including table definition).

DFIRM Home

DFIRM Database Overview