MINUTES OF MEETING
CITY COUNCIL OF PEACHTREE CITY
OCTOBER 20, 1994
7:00 P.M.

The Mayor and Council of Peachtree City met in regular session on Thursday Night October 20, 1994 at 7:00 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers.

Mayor Robert Lenox led those in attendance in the pledge of allegiance. Present were Mayor Robert Lenox, Councilmembers Annie McMenamin, Edward Bradford, Robert Brooks and Caroline Price.

No items were added to the agenda.

Announcements, Awards, Special Recognitions.

Mayor Lenox presented the "Employee of the Month Award" for September to Carla Price, Firefighter/Paramedic.

Mayor Lenox presented the "Supervisor of the Quarter Award" for the third quarter to Gary North, Sergeant in the Fire Department.

Department Reports

Mayor Lenox announced that Department Reports through September were available for review at City Hall.

Minutes

McMenamin stated that she was not present at the meeting of October 6, 1994 and would abstain from voting on the minutes. Price made a motion that the minutes of the October 6, 1994 City Council meeting be approved as presented. Bradford seconded the motion. Motion carried with McMenamin abstaining.

Old Agenda Items

10-94-2 Public Hearing - Brady Variance - 410 Vanderwall

Mayor Lenox stated that the public hearing to consider a variance for the Brady Residence at 410 Vanderwall had been continued from the meeting of October 6, 1994. Mayor Lenox stated that the public hearing had been closed, but that he would take comments from the public if anyone had anything new to add to the item, before Council resumed discussion.

Mr. Kevin Moody, Builder, came forward and stated that in 1983 he had applied for a similar variance for a home he had under construction in the Greensway Subdivision and that Council had denied the variance. Mr. Moody stated that the home had only encroached 3 feet and stated that Council denied the variance on the reasoning that they did not want to set a precedent. Mr. Moody stated that when the home had to be torn down, it had cost him a substantial amount of money. Mr. Moody asked that Council deny the variance for the Brady residence.

Mr. Tom Forney, President of the Stoneybrook Homeowners Association, came forward and stated that the Homeowners Association was in support of the variance and would hate to see an 11 year old incident impact Councils decision.

Ms. Martha Hayhurst, Architectural Control Committee for Stoneybrook Plantation, came forward and stated that it would not be in the best interest of the neighborhood for the room to be removed and asked that Council grant the variance.

Mr. Lynn Bianco, 513 Haddington Lane, came forward and stated that the Brady's had put trust in professionals to construct their home and that an error was made. Mr. Bianco stated that there was no intent to violate City Code and urged Council to approve the variance.

Mayor Lenox called for Council discussion.

Price stated that she hoped this would be the last time that Council would be faced with a decision such as this, that the Brady's had begun construction on their home prior to the date that Council adopted an ordinance requiring foundation surveys. Price stated that the City now had regulations in force, allowing time for correction of errors before dollars were spent. Price stated that she was glad to see that the Bradys had the support of the Homeowners Association.

Brooks stated that he would stand by the statements he made at the last meeting and made a motion to approve a 6.5 foot variance to the front setback along Haddington Lane for 410 Vanderwall. Mayor Lenox seconded the motion.

McMenamin stated that she was not present at the last meeting, but had listened to the tape of the hearing and met with the Brady's, the architect and Mr. Forney. McMenamin stated that she had spoken with one resident opposed to the variance that did not live in the subdivision. McMenamin asked Mr. Forney if at the Homeowners Association Meeting that was held on October 19, the Brady variance was discussed. Mr. Forney stated that it was not discussed. McMenamin stated that there were gray areas in all the requirements to grant a variance. McMenamin stated that the encroachment was not an intentional act, that most of the roof of the room was well inside the setback and in compliance. McMenamin stated that the active Homeowners Association which gave approval was pertinent, even though it would not supersede City regulations. McMenamin stated that she would be in support of the variance.

Motion carried with Mayor Lenox, Brooks, Price and McMenamin voting aye and Bradford voting nay.

New Agenda Items

10-94-5 Consider Change in License Representative - Ruby Tuesday

Mayor Lenox stated that Ruby Tuesday had requested a change in license representative to replace Mr. Dan Baker who was being transferred to Denver. Mayor Lenox stated that Ms. Margaret McSweeney had applied to be the license representative and asked her to come forward.

McMenamin stated that Ms. McSweeney had previous management experience and stated that all paperwork appeared to be in order. Motion was made by McMenamin to appoint Ms. Margaret McSweeney as license representative for Ruby Tuesday. Price seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

10-94-6 Consider Alcoholic Beverage License - Y Knot Sports Bar

Mayor Lenox stated that Mr. Jim Royal, Mr. Jim Webb and Mr. Gordon Fleming had made application for an alcoholic beverage license to sell distilled spirits, malt beverage and wine as a retail consumption dealer at the Y Knot Sports Bar to be located in the Aberdeen Shopping Center. Mayor Lenox stated that Mr. Jim Royal had applied to be the licensee and Ms. Karen Stern, manager, had applied to be the license representative and asked the applicant to come forward.

McMenamin asked City Attorney Rick Lindsey about any possible conflict of interest involving City Attorney Jim Webb being an owner of the Y Knot Sports Bar. Mr. Lindsey stated that there could be a possible conflict of interest if a problem arose, and at that time it would need to be looked at as to whether the firm could be involved in prosecuting the violator.

Bradford stated that he did not feel there would be a conflict and felt it should be treated as any other city official owning a business or violating a law.

Price stated that all paperwork appeared to be in order and made a motion to approve an alcoholic beverage license for the Y Knot Sports Bar and appoint Mr. James Royal as Licensee and Ms. Karen Stern as License Representative. Brooks seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

10-94-7 Public Hearing - Rezoning - McWilliams
Highway 74 North

Mayor Lenox stated that the item to consider rezoning of 18.5 acres of land on Highway 74 North at the entrance to the Heritage Subdivision, owned by Bobby and Sarah McWilliams would be conducted as a public hearing and read the rules for a public hearing for the benefit of the public. Mayor Lenox stated that each side of the issue would have thirty minutes to present their side and asked to hear first from the applicant.

Mr. Mike Lorber, agent for Bobby and Sarah McWilliams, came forward and stated that the McWilliams owned 18.5 acres of land on Highway 74 North at the entrance to the Heritage Subdivision. Lorber stated that the property was currently zoned GR-6 General Residential and that the McWilliams were requesting that 5.1 acres be rezoned to OI Office Institutional. Mr. Lorber stated that the remaining 13.4 acres would remain GR-6. Mr. Lorber stated that the McWilliams were proposing to divide the tract into two parcels. Lorber showed Council a map of the property which was broken down in parcels and stated that Parcel B was proposed to be a 12,500 square foot day care center. Lorber stated that under the OI zoning a day care center could not exceed 6,000 square feet as it could in General Residential. Lorber stated that surrounding the property was Santolina Park, City greenbelt, the Carmichael Funeral Home, the Penson property and the Heritage Subdivision. Lorber stated that they did not feel that General Residential should be developed along the highway in that area and that OI Office Institutional would better suit the area and would buffer the existing residential from the highway and railroad tracks. Lorber stated that he felt that step down zoning was important for Peachtree City. Lorber stated that the Office Institutional Zoning would reduce the impact on the schools and was located close to the Fire Station. Lorber stated that if the property was zoned General Residential it would most likely be developed as low income rental. Lorber stated that Office Institutional would not be used a great deal on weekends and they would do as much as possible to buffer the parking from residences. Lorber stated that it would also buffer future residential areas from the railroad tracks.

Mr. Richard Bacon, Senior Vice President of John Wieland Homes, residence 513 Ashley Way, Peachtree City, came forward and stated that John Wieland Homes was in favor of the plan. Mr. Bacon stated that originally John Wieland Homes was concerned about the plan, before they knew what would be going on the property, but that after meeting with Mr. Lorber and the McWilliams they felt it would be a good development for the area. Mr. Bacon stated that all the serious concerns John Wieland Homes had were addressed by Mr. Lorber, and that a letter of agreement between the McWilliams and John Wieland Homes would allow John Wieland Homes the right to approve the type of buildings and landscaping that goes on the property. Mr. Bacon stated that John Wieland Homes was in support of the rezoning.

Mayor Lenox asked to hear from anyone in opposition to the rezoning.

Mr. Jim Williams, Director of Developmental Services, came forward and stated that the Planning Commission had voted to recommend approval of the rezoning. Williams stated that staff opposition was based on the Land Use Plan which proposed the property in question for medium use residential. Williams stated that the owner had requested the GR-6 zoning in 1992. Williams went on to say that it was not a given fact that the residential use would be low income rentals, that it could in fact be a nice project.

Williams stated that a rezoning to OI Office Institutional would set a precedent for other undeveloped parcels along Highway 74. Williams stated that staff recommended denial of the rezoning request.

Mr. Steve Clark, 450 S. Peachtree Parkway, came forward and stated that he had a contract with John Wieland Homes to build a home in The Heritage Subdivision. Mr. Clark stated that he was surprised to hear that John Wieland Homes was now in support of the rezoning, that he understood that they had been in opposition. Mr. Clark stated that he was opposed to the rezoning, that it was surrounded by residential on three sides, and that if the Heritage Subdivision was established, he felt all the residents would be opposed. Mr. Clark stated that he felt the rezoning went against the land use plan and stated that he had moved to Peachtree City for the quality of life. Mr. Clark stated that he had moved to Peachtree City from Brewster, New York, where they had no zoning regulations and felt the property should remain General Residential.

Hearing no further opposition, Mayor Lenox closed the public hearing and called for Council debate.

Price stated that it was a difficult situation because several years ago efforts were made to combine zoning to make the area compatible. Price stated that she thought John Wieland Homes was in opposition and now understood from their representative that they are in favor of the rezoning and that the McWilliams were willing to cooperate with John Wieland Homes during the process. Price told Mr. Clark that she used to work in Brewster, New York and understood his concern. Price stated that she felt that when the older homes became unattractive they were converted into offices, but that was not what was happening in Peachtree City. Price stated that the railroad tracks along Highway 74 were a factor and that the Office Institutional could provide a buffer. Price stated that if there was cooperation between John Wieland Homes and the McWilliams she could support the rezoning.

Brooks stated that there was no perfect solution, but felt that the railroad tracks along Highway 74 should be of concern. Brooks stated that he lived close to the property in question, and stated that you could not only hear the trains, but you could feel them and he felt it was an opportunity to buffer the residential neighborhoods in the area with Office Institutional. Brooks stated that he did not feel it would set a precedent, that there were several different types of land along Highway 74 and that he was willing to support the rezoning with a statement to the Planning Commission to take a look at the buffering.

McMenamin stated that she felt it would be an attractive project and stated that a day care center could be used in either zoning classification. McMenamin stated that with John Wieland Homes in favor she could support the proposal and felt it was the proper zoning for the property.

Mayor Lenox stated that Peachtree City was far along in the development process and that the City was now faced with small parcels of land that needed to be rezoned. Mayor Lenox stated his agreement that OI Office Institutional was the appropriate zoning for the parcel, that he could not envision residential zoning for the property.

Bradford stated that he felt the property should be zoned Office Institutional, but that he did not feel it was proper to do through rezoning. He would like to see the Land Use Plan revisited. Bradford stated that he could not support the rezoning.

Price stated that she trusted that the Planning Commission would look at buffering. Price made a motion to approve the rezoning request for the McWilliams property on Highway 74 North as follows: 5.1 acres rezoned from GR-6 General Residential to OI Office Institutional, with the 13.4 acres of the 18.5 acre tract belonging to the McWilliams remaining as GR-6 General Residential. McMenamin seconded the motion.

Brooks stated that he would like to add some conditions to the zoning, the collector road constructed as shown on the drawings submitted to help take traffic off the other roadway, that the subdivision of the parcels needs to be similar to the plans presented and for part of the rezoning, to ask the Planning Commission to consider and look very closely at additional buffering or berms along the ingress to the Wieland Property. Brooks asked what the reasoning was for the 12,500 square foot day care center. Mr. Lorber stated that Office Institutional zoning did not allow for more than 6,000 square feet for a single use. Lorber stated that they would need an allowance to have the 12,500 square foot day care in Office Institutional, and if that did not happen they would need to eliminate Parcel B from the plan and leave the parcel GR-6. Mr. Lorber stated that would not look good on the drawing. Brooks asked Mr. Jim Williams to address the issue and asked if he knew the square footage of the day care center on Kedron Drive. Lorber stated that he did not know the square footage of the Primrose on Kedron Drive. Williams stated that Primrose was in excess of 6,000 square feet, possibly 8,000. Williams stated that the day care on Robinson Road was approximately 6,000 square feet. Brooks stated that the day care could go in even if the property was not rezoned and added the condition that Parcel B be allowed to have a 12,500 square foot day care facility as shown.

City Attorney Rick Lindsey stated that it might not look as good on paper, but that General Residential zoning allowed for a 12,500 square foot day care facility, however the Office Institutional did not. Lindsey recommended leaving Parcel B as GR-6 if that was acceptable to the applicant. Lorber stated that would be entirely up to the City. Brooks asked Mr. Lorber if he would be willing to accept the condition that the day care center not exceed 12,500 square feet, that he would rather see the whole parcel Office Institutional.

Mr. Lorber at this point called attention to the letter of agreement between the McWilliams and John Wieland Homes and asked that it be added to the conditions.

After Council discussion with staff and the City Attorney it was stated that under the Office Institutional zoning a day care center could not exceed 6,000 square feet unless Council granted a variance. Lindsey stated that the Parcel for the day care facility should be left GR-6. Lindsey read the permitted uses for Office Institutional zoning for clarification.

Brooks made an amendment to the motion to approve the rezoning of Parcels A, C, and D as shown on attachment B of the plans submitted to Office/Institutional with the following conditions:

1. there needs to be a collector road as shown on attachment B of the drawings submitted.

2. the subdivision of the parcels be similar to that shown on attachment B of the drawings submitted.

3. the letter of commitment from the McWilliams Family to the John Wieland Homes Company, in its entirety, be a condition.

4. Planning Commission is directed to look at the ingress to the John Wieland Development and consider for additional buffering and berms.

Lindsey asked that the applicant state their agreement to the conditions for the record. Mr. Lorber stated that they agreed to the conditions.

Price seconded the amended motion. Motion carried with Mayor Lenox, McMenamin, Brooks and Price voting aye and Bradford voting nay.

10-94-8 Public Hearing - Peachtree City Library Variance

Mayor Lenox stated that the item to consider a variance for the Peachtree City Library would be conducted as a public hearing and asked City Engineer Cam McNair to come forward to present the request.

Mr. McNair stated that he was representing Mr. Walter Murphy, Director of the Flint River Regional Library System. Mr. McNair stated that the library expansion was ready to break ground. McNair stated that a variance was required relating to disturbances that will be created by the expansion to a small creek behind the library. McNair stated that the issue was water quality, the library was located very close to Lake Peachtree, a water reservoir for the City. McNair reported that the City had an ordinance that had been in effect since 1990, which required buffers around water supply reservoirs and their tributaries. McNair stated that there was also a state law in effect requiring the same buffers requirements. McNair stated that the site design had been looked at very closely and that it had been redrawn in order to minimize the impact on the creek and Lake Peachtree. McNair stated that a solution had been determined, but all the impacts on the creek could not be eliminated. McNair stated that pollution skimmers had been incorporated into the storm water drainage system to filter water running off the parking lot, and the open area on the other side of the creek would be returned to a more natural vegetated area, which will enhance stream water quality. McNair stated that there was a technicality of the disturbances that will be created in the buffer to do the work that needs to be done. McNair stated that Council had before them a position paper which explained the technical details. McNair stated that the City was being held to the same regulations for a variance as any other property, and that the State Environmental Protection Division had reviewed the request and given their approval. McNair stated that staff recommended approval of the variance.

Mayor Lenox asked to hear from anyone else wishing to speak in favor of the variance. Mr. McNair stated that he had a letter from Ms. Linda Vaughn, Friends of the Library, in support of the variance.

Mayor Lenox asked to hear from anyone in opposition to the variance and hearing none, called the public hearing closed and called for Council debate.

Price stated that she felt Mr. McNair approached the item in a very professional way by enlisted the guidance and support of the state. Price stated that the library area would be one that all the residents would be very much aware of and she was glad that it was being handled in the proper way. Price stated that she supported the variance.

McMenamin commended City Engineer Cam McNair on a fine job and very good facts bearing on the situation.

Brooks made a motion to approve the variance request to allow an encroachment and retaining wall to protrude 15 feet into the buffer area and for the extension of the retaining wall and the pavement as it transitions back out of the buffer area over at the eastern property line and to allow the temporary disturbance created in the buffer area where storm drain outlets and a foot bridge are going to be installed. Price seconded the motion.

Bradford stated that he did not take variances lightly, that he did not have a problem with a temporary disturbance to correct the problem because he felt the City would be better for it. Bradford stated that part of the variance was to allow something that already existed in the past and under previous law, was permitted, so the variance would bring the library into compliance. Bradford stated that a wall would be extended into an area that in the past has been used for parking and roadway and currently there was no drainage which was of concern. Bradford stated that he was very concerned with drainage and oil running into the lake and felt the retaining wall would help prevent water runoff and redirect the runoff into the skimmer, which would take the oil off which would allow for higher quality water in the lake. Bradford stated that he had no problem supporting the variance. Motion carried unanimously.

10-94-9 Consider Cable T.V. Franchise Agreement with Intermedia

City Manager Jim Basinger introduced Mr. Sonny Seneker and Mr. Bruce Stewart, representatives from Intermedia and stated that the City Attorney and City Staff had been working with Intermedia representatives for the last seven months on the cable franchise renewal. Basinger stated that the franchise had been resolved to staffs satisfaction and Ms. Frances Meaders, Director of Administrative Services read a list of items of public concern that had been addressed in the franchise. Basinger stated that the franchise was for a twelve year term, with an initial two year term by which Intermedia would upgrade the system for a channel capacity of 78 channels, with access to 50 channels by the end of 1996. Basinger stated that if those conditions were met a five year extension would be granted in which time the company would upgrade the system for a capacity of 111 channels should the City believe the expansion was needed. This decision would be made in 1998. Basinger stated if that condition was met, the franchise would be renewed for an additional five years. Basinger stated that staff was comfortable with the franchise agreement and that Intermedia had already begun to address some of the concerns of the public by adding, effective November 1, 1994, four new channels to the line-up. Basinger stated that staff recommended Council approval of the franchise and asked that Council let representatives from Intermedia address the Council if they wished.

Mr. Bruce Stewart, counsel for Intermedia, and Mr. Sonny Seneker, General Manager, came forward. Mr. Stewart stated that Intermedia had begun to respond to the concerns of Peachtree City residents by adding additional channels. Mr. Stewart stated that the franchise was progressively minded for the expansion of the system. Mr. Stewart went on to say that signal quality was very important and that Intermedia would be laying fiber optic cable in the near future. Mr. Stewart stated that there was one issue that Intermedia was in objection to which was in Section 2 of the agreement, Grant of Authority. Mr. Stewart stated that they objected due to competition in the field and felt that all cable systems operating in Peachtree City should operate under the same rules.

Price asked if there was a reason that the City could not make a provision for all systems to operate alike. City Attorney Rick Lindsey stated that Wometco Cable was another company operating in a small portion of Peachtree City, which the City had a current franchise with that could not be changed. Lindsey stated that Wometco's franchise agreement went into effect in 1990 and would not expire until 2005, that it was a fifteen year franchise. Lindsey stated that the customer standards which Council adopted would be applicable to Wometco as well as Intermedia. Price stated that Intermedia, under the new franchise, would be providing a capacity for 78 channels, that the City could not force Wometco to abide by the same franchise and that she felt Intermedia had begun responding to citizen concerns. Price stated that she did not feel that it would put Intermedia at a disadvantage, and that she felt the City should let Wometco know what we expect as a City. Price stated that she would support approval of the franchise agreement.

Bradford made a motion to approve and adopt the cable franchise agreement with Intermedia as presented. Price seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

10-94-10 Consider Cable T.V. Penalty Ordinance

City Manager Jim Basinger stated that ordinance 6.5-51 of the Peachtree City Code of Ordinances established standards for cable T.V. operators doing business in Peachtree City. Basinger stated that subsection 4-7, Installation and Operational Standards , subpart K of part 76 of the FCC Technical Service Rules needed to be added which would ensure picture quality. Basinger stated that the penalty section needed to be amended to follow Section 1-8 of the Peachtree City Code of Ordinances for violations to be enforced. Basinger stated that staff recommended approval of the amendment to the ordinance. Price made a motion to amend Section 6.5-51 of the Peachtree City Code of Ordinances to add subsection 4-7 and provide a penalty section for cable operators. McMenamin seconded the motion. Mr. Bruce Stewart, counsel for Intermedia, came forward and stated his objection to a $1,000 fine for a violation. Mr. Stewart stated that there could be certain conditions where it would be impossible to comply with the City Code. City Attorney Rick Lindsey stated that the penalties were already provided for in the franchise agreement and that the amendment was only a housekeeping item to follow along with all other violations to City Code. Mr. Stewart asked that his objection be noted for the record. Motion carried unanimously.

10-94-11 Consider Computer Consultant Services

Frances Meaders, Director of Administrative Services, stated that since the City began the process of updating the computer system, technology and expectations had changed, along with the City adding the GIS system. Meaders stated that all City equipment needed to be compatible with the GIS system. Meaders went on to say that staff felt the need for a computer consultant to advise the City on hardware and software to fit its needs, but that there were no dollars budgeted for the consultant. Meaders stated that specifications for consultants were sent out and that bids came in from $4,000.00 to over $100,000.00. Meaders stated that Mr. Chris Wood, of CADD Concepts, the company that provided the GIS system, was willing to work with the City Staff as a consultant in exchange for the City purchasing equipment through him. Meaders explained that any purchase over $5,000 would be brought to Council for approval and that the price of any equipment purchased would be compared with other companies prices to ensure that the City would not spend more funds than necessary. Meaders stated that staff recommended approval of the contract before them with CADD Concepts for the computer consultant and assured Council that if the system did not prove beneficial to the City, the contract could be canceled.

Bradford stated that he strongly urged Council to support the contract with the computer consultant. Bradford stated that the contract with Mr. Wood was the most cost efficient way to bring a consultant on board. Price made a motion to enter into a contract with CADD Concepts for Computer Consulting Services. Brooks seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

10-94-12 Consider Bids - Engineering Testing Consultant

City Engineer Cam McNair stated that staff felt the need for a consultant similar to that of the computer consultant, to assist the staff in generating quality control with City projects. McNair stated that staff solicited bids from three firms on various types of testing or services. The three firms were Atlanta Testing, Georgia Testing and United Consulting. McNair stated that it was staffs recommendation that the City enter into a contract with United Consulting. McNair stated that the firm was very qualified and was doing consulting work at the present time with the Fayette County Board of Education. McNair stated that United Consulting could also work with Public Works when they needed testing services.

Bradford asked if a yearly agreement would be made with United Consulting Services and Marcie Blind, Purchasing Agent, stated that it would be an annual agreement, but that a contract had not been drafted yet. Blind went on to say, that as with the Computer Consultant, there were stipulations to sever the contract with proper notice.

McMenamin stated that she would like to have the item continued so that a contract could be prepared and made a motion to continue the item to the meeting of November 3, 1994. Price seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

10-94-13 Highway 74 S Soccer/Baseball Complex

City Engineer Cam McNair stated that the Highway 74 S Soccer/Baseball Complex was behind schedule due to the amount of rainfall the City experienced this past summer. McNair stated that the complex would not be ready for play next spring as expected. McNair stated that laying sod on the fields was discussed but it was felt it was not prudent. McNair stated that the issue in front of Council was that of money, that due to the delay, there would be additional costs involved in constructing the complex. McNair stated that the City wanted to have first class fields and did not want to have the drainage problems that some of the other fields had. McNair stated that the bulk of the money had been spent on designing the complex, that parking lots, light, rest rooms, concession stands and dugouts were needed. McNair stated that staff recommended Council authorize the reallocation of funds to complete Phase I of the Sports Complex.

City Manager Jim Basinger stated that the proposed funding for the completion of Phase I of the Sports Complex was to take $283,000 from the recreation administration building renovation, which would delay that project until October of 1995. Basinger stated that $29,000 would be left for the design of the recreation administration renovation. Basinger stated that staff also proposed that $50,000 be taken from the Kedron Recreation Facility Project, and stated that $50,000 had been budgeted in the five year plan for the Kedron Project each year. Basinger stated that if the Recreation Administration Building Renovation was delayed one year, the library should be ready for use and Recreation Staff could use a portion of the lower level of the library while their building was being renovated. Basinger stated that would save cost of renting space during the renovation process and recommended that Council approve the funding mechanism for the Highway 74 S Soccer/Baseball Complex.

Ms. Sandra Jungers, Fayette County Endowment Committee, came forward and stated that the committee was in support of a first class sports complex, and stated that she hoped next year the Endowment Committee would have Council's support for an auditorium for the arts.

Ms. Linda North, President of the Peachtree City Little League, came forward and stated that the current facilities need upgraded and asked that Council approve the reallocation of funds.

Ms. Jan Zinck, President of the Soccer Association, stated that the soccer and baseball associations were both at a maximum and the need for new fields was great. She asked that Council approve the reallocation of funds for the Sports Complex.

Mr. Gus Frankie, came forward and stated that he did not want to see the other projects hindered in order for the Sports Complex to be completed.

City Manager Jim Basinger stated that other projects would be addressed as scheduled and that all items in the five year plan were looked at each year and that while no promises could be made, he was optimistic that the field schedule could be met.

Brooks made a motion to approve the reallocation of funds to move $283,000 from the Recreation Administration Building renovation to the Highway 74 S Soccer/Baseball Complex Project and leave $29,000 for design of the administration building and also move $50,000 from the Kedron Recreation Facility to the South Sports Complex. Price seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

There being no further business to come before Council, Mayor Lenox made a motion that the meeting be recessed to be reconvened in executive session. Bradford seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Executive Session

No action was taken in executive session and Bradford made a motion that the meeting be adjourned at 10:15 p.m. Brooks seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Angie Stevens

Angie Stevens, Asst. City Clerk

Robert L. Lenox

Mayor Attest: